A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

The People — vs Wm Rowe 322.
" " E. J. Broughton 327.
" " John Silva et al. 328
" " G. O. Mansfield " 329.
" B. C. Terry — 331.
" E. Mansfield 332
" Jas. Ryan et al 333
" " " 334
" John Reed et al. 335
" Ygenacio Rangel 336
" Ed Hobb et al 337
" D. P. Cutter et al vs. J. J. Broughton et al 341
" Carr Lee 343.
" Woodward et al 344.
" A. C. Spence 345
" Frank Walter 346.
" E. P. Hughes et al 27.
" Roberts Whitney et al 29.
" John Taylor 31
" Chas Summers 32.
" B. M. Hayne 33
" Otto Schram et al. 36
" H. G. Hart 37.
" J. J. Jones 38
" Robert Clark 41
" Matthew Fitzgerald et al. 42
" Robert Clark 43.
" Ah. Gee 44
" Gee. Lockwood 46
" John Richardson 47.
" H. A. Rice 48
" Gus Anderson 49.
" Frank Williams et al. 52
" Thos Martin 53
" Grant Nelson 55
" Mary Boothe 56
" R. A. Clark 58
" R. J. Markham et al 59.
" Jas. Agnew 61
" Frank Lawrence 63
" Dan Rabbitt 64
" Wm Evans 67.
" H. L. Smith 69.
" Gee. Smith et al 71
Thos Anderson 72

The Peop — vs John Lopez — 73
" Jose Cabral 74.
" W. J. McDonnell 76.
" W. H. Byers et al 77.
" Jos Zahenbt et al 78
" S. A. Edwards " 79.
" Thos Chris " 80
" Joaquin Cano 81
" Oscar Schuber 82.
" N. A. Jones 83.
" Chas Powell 84
" Jas Lee 85
" Wm Hitts et al 86
" Walter Gonzalez et al 87
" " " " 88
" H. B Cammack. 89.
" " " " 90.
" Robt Brown 91
" Mary Hall et al 92.
" N. L. Nutter et al 94
" Adolph Gerard et al 95
" Thomas Mealey 96
" Jas. Arrais 97.
" Frank Patterson et al 98
" J. A Carli et al 99.
" Otto Bruns et al 100
" Parker Thompson et 102.
" Wm Herman 103.
" Frank Dickson 104
" Michael Murphy 107
" J. M. Hankins 108
" Edwin Barber 109
" Matthew Fitzgerald 110
" Jos H. Hagan 111
" Pat Sullivan 112.
" Martin Hartmann 113
" Chas. Jones 114
" John Lawler 115
" Wm Finch et al 116
" Wm Warren " 117
" Jas Murray 119.
" N. R. Vredenburgh 120
" Henry Henry 121
" Ygnacio Rangel 122
" J. J. Mitchell 123.

G. B. Campbell v. E. Mansfield — 411.
" Cull. H. C.

Austin H. v. M. Arnold 274

B.

Barkley Jas — vs — J. M. Sutton 51.
Barbara " " E. B. Mills et al 139.
Bell & Arnold v J. A. Freeman 176.
" " " V. B. Lenahan — et al 177.
" " " B. B. Bruce et al 178
" " " J. Reed " 179
" " " A. H. Blandford
Brown Mc Land vs Wm Barkley 277

B.

Lenahan Executrix v. C. B. Bryant 331
" " " Brunt & Brown. 338
Schuck — G. C. " G. H. Harsh 34
Lenahan Executrix " Wm Sullivan 274

E

Eberhard Adolph — to H.C. Schulz — 104

Evans Frank — to W. Condon — 227.
Ferguson J.M. — " Lewis Morrow. 276
Funk H.J. — " P.H. Walker 282
Farid S. — " Wm. Penne — 285
Fanning P.R. — " P.H. Walker — 287.

D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

H

The Hisley Company	"	H. A. Carruthers.	323.
Hill J. B.	"	Samuel Jenkins	325
Hinig Geo.	"	Nicholas Cupp	68
Hill W. L.	"	C. B. Rogers	105
Hughes J. E. et al.	"	R. H. Fleming et al	125
Harris W. H.	"	H. G. Bailey	199.
Harris W. C.	"	W. F. Reese.	281

G.

Green. J. S.	"	Ben Breese	342
Graham Jas	"	H. C. Cude.	50
Gray W. L.	"	Samuel Meyer et al.	133

G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

K—

Kansas Soldiers &c	vs. K. F. Platt	341
" "	" O. J. Haggard	345
Kirby R—	vs. J. M. Crawford	213.
Kansas Soldiers &c.	vs. L. G. Thompson.	279.
"	" B. F. Royal.	280
"	" J. A. Pelan	281

Johnson. R.B.	vs.	J.D. Yeyler —	165.
" "	"	J. Pierson —	166.
" "	"	Lewis Hunnelly	167.
" "	"	H.B. Rice —	168.
" "	"	A.H. Stanfield	169

Lamb. J.	vs —	Mary C. Mott —	39
Lee — Ah —	"	On Kee —	54
Lemmon. A.G.	"	Sam Edmunds	151
Long — J.H.	"	Al. Gillund	195
Lumber Co. Supt. B —	"	M.R. Headly —	197.
Leslie J. —	"	P.H. Walton —	207.
Lettmann J. —	"	M. Marriott etal.	244
Lemorton J. —	"	G.J. Crammeatte —	259.

J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

McKenzie N. H.	r. R. Moore.	35.
McDuain C. & Co	" Wm. Williams	57.
Morgan John.	Alex Jackson	70.
Moore Linda	r. H. R. Boggs.	163.
McClellan	" J. H. Bamitt dec'd	164
Manning John	r J. H. Morehead.	275

Nuly. Wm. — v. J. Papdrem — 219
Owen C. A. v Lenahen — 238.

M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

P.

Poole M. A.	to Mrs. Nimelle	326
Pickett. Dave	" Chr. Muckla	219
Pickford Bros.	" A. H. Manfords	219
Prater Jos.	W. Condon.	229
Parker. M.	" " "	230

POKESTOWN

S.

Silvey - J. E. -	vs - M. Martinas.	321
Shaffer. J. N.	" Renfro & Lid - "	28
Simmons. G. W.	" Harris Subs.	31 -
Sayle C. G.	" J. H. Berry et al.	142 -
Snow H. E. -	" Jim Malony -	182.
Silva J. E.	" J. Marks.	225 -
Simmons - M -	" M. Condon.	228.
Samman N. -	" " "	231.
Saffern C. R. -	vs R. B. Johnson -	291

3-

Tadlock.	J. E.–	vs Thom Cunningham.	324
Thomas.	G. M.	" Mrs Owen –	45–
Thomas	"	" C. C. Coxedale	62
"	"	" Geo Edwards –	270.
"	"	" J. M. Smith –	293
Taylor.	J. G.	vs. G. A. Noble –	298

S T U V W Y Z

H.

White James — 39 E. Hubbard-ave. 339
Webb H. H — 4 L. H. Hubbard. 66
Wilson W. D. — 21 Q. J. Meade — 244.
Williams W. L. 21 J. E. Smith ave 243.
Wintle J. H. 4 Jacob Reeder. 278

The People vs	W. L. Owen.	187.
" "	Jas. Ammon	188
" "	Mary Allen	189.
" "	Wm. Mack	190
" "	"	191.
" "	Joe. Newcomber	192.
" "	W. L. Owen	193.
" "	W. G. Lee et al	194
" "	Thad Phillips	196
" "	John Rock et al	198
" "	Wm. Rock "	200
" "	C. O. Hunt "	201
" "	Jas Copeland	202.
" "	Jas. De. Lacy	203
" "	E. H. Redmon	205
" "	J. C. Burke	206
" "	John Hunt	208
" "	Frank Desplebain	212.
" "	Jas. R. Adams et al	214
" "	D. Jacques	215
" "	J. K. Griffith	216
" "	D. M. Bergman	217
" "	" " "	218
"	Louis Carroll	224
"	Jas. Hogan	221
"	Albert Price et al	222
"	Wm Cowan	223
"	Ed Redmon	224
"	Frank Grage	226
"	John Jacob et al	232
"	Geo. Mullin "	233
"	Chs. Lile "	234
"	E Potter	235
"	A. Gilmore	236
"	M. Raymond	237
"	Henry Purdy	239
"	Jas. Finnegan et al	240
"	Felix Hinds "	241
"	J. J. Bates	242
"	Wm. Ellis	243
"	Chs. White	246
"	Jas. Hostetter	247
"	Jas. Bandy	248
"	Abe. Hurst	249.
"	A. M. Coldwell et al	250

The People vs	Jacob Barnes	124
" "	Wm. Bunn	126
" "	S. B. Riley	127.
" "	John Griffee	128.
" "		129.
" "	J. M. Ferguson et al	130.
" "	Wash St Clair	131
" "	Geo. Allison	132
" "	Joe Nolan	134
" "	Jas. Riley	135
" "	Silas Dunn et al	136
" "	John Doe	137
" "	Ed Bunn et al	138
" "	Robt Smith	140
" "	Wm Bernard	141
" "	Jacob Markamier	143.
" "	Wm Doe	144
" "	Jno Doe	145
" "	John Doe	146.
" "	Chas Enoch	147
"	J. D. Humphries et al	148
"	Chs. O. Connell "	149
"	W. B. Enos "	150
"	John McCarty	152
"	Henry Limbaugh	153
"	M. H. Callaghan et al	154
"	Thos. Dean	155
"	Chs. Enos	156
"	J. Lee Smith	157
"	Jas Martin	158
"	John Jackson	159
"	James Smith	160
"	V Mulch	161
"	Geo. Hicks	162
"	Jno Wallening	171
"	John Long	171
"	J. M. Ray	172.
"	Wm Sullivan et al	173.
"	G. E. Bunn	174
"	Jno Hales	175
"	Jno Parker	180
"	Jas Arter	181
"	C. E. Parker	183.
"	Chas Enos	185
"	E. P. Reasoner	194

V
W
Y
Z

The People	vs.	A. L. Owen 187-
"		E. Hitchcock 251
"		Jno Mills. 252
"		Al. Mansfield stat 253.
"		Jas. Clough - " 254
"		Wm Cook 255-
"		Chs. Powell " 256
"		C. G. Felix 257
"		J. Labil 258.
"		J. Amburg 260
"		J. B. Bruso 261
"		Andy Mann stat 262.
"		Chs. Powell " 263
"		Wm Smith " 264
"		Jas. Smith 265
"		Anthony Callis stat 266
"		Louis Miller 267.
"		Geo. Grey 268
"		R. Teague stat 269
"		Wm Walsh " 271.
"		Chs. Hutmire 272
"		Wm Eaman 273.
"		John Dunlap. 283
"		H. H. Jones. stat 284
"		Jas. R. Dolle 288.
"		Wm Lane stat 289.
"		Wm Davis do 291
"		Joseph. Oldman 292
"		Jas. Blair 294
"		Chs. Seubert stat 295-
"		Stud. McDonald 296
"		H. D. Byrne 297.
"		Hugh. Sweeney. 298
"		Wm Galz. 300
"		Peter Brim. 302
"		Jas Smith stat 303
"		Alex Rogers 304

No. 321.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

J. E. Dulney — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Manuel Martinez — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $38.25/100

Grady & Nolan
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 6th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable - Walker
"	affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Attachment issued & given Constable - Walker.

2

No. 322

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

William Rose — DEFENDANT

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Walker -
"	Defendant in Court - and informed of the Charge against him
	and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded Not guilty -
	Cause set for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. July 14th 1884 -
	Defendant files his bond in the sum of $50. for his
	appearance for trial
"	Cause set - for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. July 14th 1884 -
14.	Defendant demands trial by Jury - Venire issued & in Court
"	10. O.C. A.M. - Defendant in Court - Venire & Subpoenas returned
	and filed - Parties ready for trial - Jurors were
	sworn & by the Court - witnesses sworn and Cause
	sworn and examined - Cause closed argued
	and submitted to the jury by their deliberation
	and verdict - The jury rendered a Short - written
	verdict - were returned in to Court with a verdict
	of acquittal - Jury and Defendant discharged

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 323.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The Bisby Company
PLAINTIFF

vs.

W. A. Carruthers
DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $

Church & Coy
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. B. Edmonds
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July	6. Complaint filed Summons issued & given out — Walker —
	18. Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant — together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 18th day of July 1888. and filed —
"	19. Answer of Defendant — entered and filed —
1889. Jan	8. This Cause is dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff — to the Commencement of a new action

D. B. Hill. J. P.

4

No. 324

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

H. E. Ballard et al
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Thomas Cunningham
DEFENDANT

Action Account for insurance

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 7.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff

No. 325—

In the Justice's Court of B Township,

County of Yuma—

State of California.

J. B. Hill — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Samuel Jenkins — DEFENDANT

Action Account—

Demand, $ 7.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 7.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const—Walker
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const—Walker.

6

No. 326

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

M. A. Poole — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Hamill — DEFENDANT

Action Money had & Received

Demand, $111.00

Grady & Welch — Attorney for Plaintiff

Bruce Caniff — Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July.	9. Complaint filed Summons issued & to Plaintiff Attorneys
"	Summons returned Money personal service with deposit together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 9th day of July 1888 & filed —
"	12. Subpoenas of A. E. Pool — taken by Plaintiffs Counsel in this action — Defendant allowed until the 18th day of July — in which to answer
	17. Subpoenas of A. E. Pool filed —
"	18. Defendant filed his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint —
"	Cause Continued until 9. O'C. A. M. July 19th 1888 —
"	19 9. O'C. A. M. Parties in Court — Subpoenas returned and filed Parties ready for trial — M. A. Poole — Exhibits A & B — filed in evidence — H. R. Bibby. Robt Beers. John Beers — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff also the Subpoenas of A. E. Pool — Defendant in evidence Wm Hamill. M. J. Cook. Robt Hamill. C. Craycroft. and E. B. Wallis Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defence — Caruse Closed argued and Submitted —
"	The Court after having heard the evidence and having fully Considered the same. —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff have nothing and that the said defendant do have and recover of and from the said Plaintiff his costs — necessarily expended in the defence of this action to be taxed by the Clerk of the United States — taxed as follows to wit: $35.50 —

E. B. Wallis J. P.

No. 327.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Tuna

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. J. Boughton
DEFENDANT

Action Grand larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1874 July 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Constable Walton

8

No. 328.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Dilva Ed. Dilva
Mack Wallace Wm Sutton & Chas. Smith
DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walton
" "	Defendants in Court — and informed of the Charge against them
July 10.	and of their rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty
	and waive the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendants allowed to leave the Co

D. H. Hall J.P.

No. 329.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Watts & John Murphy alias
G. O. Mansfield & Joseph Morgan
DEFENDANTS

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court C. J. Watts
Rel'd	11. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
"	Defendants discharged upon the ground that they have been in Jail for three days and perhaps already sufficiently punished for their offence
	D. H. Hill, J. P.

10

No. 334.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Donahoe, Emmons & Co — PLAINTIFFS

vs.

C. S. Benjamin — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $143.08/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable —
" 12.	Summons returned Hearing Journal Docket as to defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of July 1884 & filed —
" 14.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law,
"	Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of One hundred and forty three and 08/100 dollars the amount found due and the sum of Six and 50/100 dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in Lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the amount found due 143.08
	" " " Justices fee — 4.50
	" " " Costs " 2.00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $149.58
	C. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 331.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

B C Jeury
DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court — Walker Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded Not guilty Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the Sum of $50.00 — Bond approved and filed —
" 12.	This Cause set for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. July 12th 1888 —
"	Defendant demands trial by Jury — Venire & Subpoenas issued.
"	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 9. O.C. A.M. July 13th 1888
" 13.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Venire and Subpoenas returned and filed Cause Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. July 21st 1888
" 21.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. — 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Parties ready to proceed with the trial. A Sopwith — Chas Brown Chas Ackman. Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — Sworn on their Oaths —
"	Geo. Hubbell, Jas Hooker. B. C. Jeury — Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Defence — Cause Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. July 24th 1888 — At this time the witnesses reappearing — the Cause was resumed and Said witnesses Sworn and Examined — Cause Submitted The Court — after having heard the evidence — and having fully Considered the Same — Orders that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is Conflicting and uncertain

L. B. Hill J.P.

No. 332.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

E. Marsfelder

DEFENDANT

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Watkins Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant asks for Examination in the sum of $500. Bail offered & filed
Aug. 11	This Cause set for Examination Aug 11th 1884 at 9 o'c. A.M. Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant
" 11.	This Cause Continued generally upon the ground that the whereabouts of the prosecuting witness is at this time unknown
	Cause dismissed for want of prosecution
	D. K. Hill J.P.

No. 333

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

James B. Ryan & Geo R. Nicholson DEFENDANTS

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

R. B. Gray Attorney for Plaintiff

Gunther & Gin and L. M. Beatie Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	12.	Complaint filed and Search Warrant issued and given to Dept. Sheriff W. S. Wyatt.—
Ret'd	17.	Search Warrant returned and filed - without any discovery as to lost property—
		J. H. Hall J.P.

Affidavit & Search Warrant given J. H. Daly June 7 1889

No. 334

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
James K. Ryan & Jos. R. Nicholson DEFENDANTS

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

R. B. Terry Attorney for Plaintiff

Gunckel & Gray and L. M. Seaton Attorneys for Defendants

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	12	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty Sheriff. ~~Hyatt~~
July	17.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County to await their Examination in default of Bail in the Sum of Five Thousand Dollars each of them — Cause Set for Examination at 10. O.C. A.M. July 30th 1888. Issued Subpoena for Defendant J. K. Ryan and given his attys —
	28.	Issued Subpoena for the People.
"	30.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court, Defendants moved the Court to discharge them upon the grounds that the Complaint was made on information and belief, but agree that the affidavit made by Thomas Bletter shall be admitted as having been made at the time the complaint was filed. Arms — John McNally & Harry Baily sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Court took a recess until 1½ O.C. P.M. this day —
"		1½ O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court. Thomas Bletter. W. J. Wilson W. J. Davis. A. W. Stone. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. The Statements of J. K. Ryan & J. R. Nicholson filed in evidence — W. J. Wilson recalled — People Closed. Frank Higgens. W. C. Haight. O. E. Brown. Mrs. N. Reder Mrs. Emma Ryan sworn and examined as witnesses for the defendants — Defense Closed — Comm Closed argument commenced — Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. July 31st 1888.
"	31.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court — Argument Concluded Pros and Con — The Court took the matter under advisement until 10. O.C. A.M. August 1st 1888 —
August	1.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court — after having heard the testimony and having fully considered this whole matter — and it appearing to me that the offense

See Page 15 —

No. 335

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Silas Reed & Jas. Reed

DEFENDANTS

Action Robbery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court - Waiver
13.	Defendants in Court - and informed of the Charge against them and of their right - the Defendants pleaded not guilty Cause examined - and defendants discharged upon the grounds of a reasonable doubt as to their guilty - — S. B. Hill J.P.
1888 Page 14.	of Robbery on or about the 2. day of July 1888 was Committed - and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the within above named J. K. Ryan and J. K. Nicholson guilty thereof. I order that they be held to answer to the Charge and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba - and that they be admitted to bail in the sum of Five thousand each of them and that they be Committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Yuba until they give such bail — S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 336.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Ygnacio Rangel — DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 12.	Complaint - Warrant - Issued & given Constable -
" 13.	Defendant in Court - and informed of the charge against him
Pelez	and of his rights - on the premises - Defendant - pleads not guilty
	as charged - Defendant - held for his appearance - on
	his own recognizance - upon the [illegible]
16.	This Cause Set - for trial at - 11. o'c. A.M. - July 17th 1888 -
	Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant
"	Cause Continued until 9. o'c. A.M. July 23. 1888.
17.	Cause Continued until 9. o'c. A.M. July 23. 1888.
21.	This Cause dismissed for want of prosecution.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 337.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Ed. Nolan, Wm. Wells & Jack Corrigan DEFENDANTS

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Hall.
Dec. 14.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence — Defendants allowed to leave the town at once —

D. A. Hill J.P.

No. 338.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Donahue Emmons & Co — PLAINTIFFS

vs.

Brown & Graden — DEFENDANTS

Action Account

Demand, $ 224.20/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed

No. 339.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

James White — PLAINTIFF

vs.

E. S. Hulbut — E. Canby and W. S. Nicholas — DEFENDANT

Action Note & Lien

Demand, $129.50 with interest —

Martin & Shaw — Attorney for Plaintiff

S. M. Drake & H. H. Noble — Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & in John Hansen —
Aug. 11.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants W. S. Nicholas and E. Canby together with a copy of the Complaint — and file herein on the 11th day of Aug — 1888. and filed —
16.	Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein Summons & returned and overruled —
Sept. 10.	Defendant W. S. Nicholas files his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint
" 11.	This Cause set for trial at 10 O.C. A. M. Sept 20th 1888 —
" 19.	Defendant W. S. Nicholas demands trial by Jury — issue issued —
" 20.	10 O.C. A. M. Parties in Court — venire returned and filed Parties ready to proceed with the trial of this action — Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification after which the following jurors were drawn to try this Cause viz — William Shaw, C. H. Bruce, J. H. McDonald E. B. Ramsey, S. J. McConnell, H. J. Scott, Isaac Hunt & G. A. Smith.
"	John Hansen — sworn and examined as witness on behalf of Plaintiff — & filed a plea in evidence — Plaintiff Closes —
	W. S. Nicholas — and S. M. Luckey — sworn and examined as witnesses for the defendant — Defendant Closes —
	John Hansen recalled by Plaintiff — Cause Closed argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict —
"	The jury after a short retirement were returned into Court with the following verdict — We the jury find for the Defendant —
	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant do have and recover of and from the Plaintiff — the costs necessarily incurred in the defense of said action in lawful money of the United States — Tax at $38.50 — Jury. $14.00 Witness & fees bill, $5.00 — Court $1.00 Justice $8.00 — Witness $8.00 —
	S. M. Hill J.P.
Oct —	The Cost paid in this action without prejudice to Plaintiffs right to appeal —
	S. M. Hill — July. 20 —

No. 34 a.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Yuma.
State of California.

Action Note & Guard

Hirtum Goldstein & Co — PLAINTIFFS

vs.

W. H. Platt — DEFENDANT

Demand, $26.50 & interest —

Supplee & Supplee
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July.	14.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable — Waldron —
"	26.	Summons returned Showing Personal Service on the defendant — together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 26th July — 1888. and filed —
Aug.	1.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant is this premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiffs It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum Thirty three and 42/100 dollars the balance now due on said note together with the interest thereon up to date and the sum of Twenty dollars attorneys fees H.C. Supplee first being duly sworn deposes and says said fee is reasonable and the further sum of $6.50 Cost necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — in gold Coin of the U. S. —
"		Judgment for the principal and interest then 33.42
		" " " Justices fees — 4.50
		" " " Constable " 2.00
"		Total amount of Judgment — $39.92
		E. H. Hill J.P. —
"	6.	Issued Execution and gave Const — Waldron —

No. 341

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

D. S. Gratton alias N. J. Broughton and John Doe Marshall —
DEFENDANTS

Action Forgery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July.	14.	Complaint — filed & give Comm — N. J. Bartlett
Refer.		
1888 — Mem Page 19 — Sept —	21.	In this action the defendant — Eli Combs — having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant Eli Combs is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant — Eli Combs having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Eli Combs — having been duly entered herein according to law — now at this day on application of Wheaton and Stout — attorneys for James Whit — the Plaintiff in this action — it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the defendant — Eli Combs — in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs complaint — on file herein —
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that James White the Plaintiff in this action do have and recover of and from the defendant — Eli Combs a judgment for the sum of Two hundred and twenty and 33/100 — dollars — the Principal and interest, a balance now due and unpaid on a certain promissory note made executed and delivered by you to one M. S. Nichols on the 11th day of Nov 1884. at Inyo Cal — and for the sum of $7.00 Cost — necessarily expended in this action in Gold Coin of the United States of America
"		Judgment — for the Principal and interest — 220.33 —
		" " " Justices fees — 5.00
		" " " Constable " 2.00
"		Total amount — of Judgment — $227.33
		S. H. Hill J. P. —
Nov	1.	Handed John Hanna for Plaintiff one abstract of Judgment —

No. 342.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Account-

Jas. L. Green — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Big Bunn — DEFENDANT

Demand, $ 54.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 16.	Complaint- filed Summons issued & given Const Walker
"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant- together with a copy of the Complaint- on file herein on the 16th day of July 1888 and filed —
" 23.	Now Come the defendant- in person & for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint- Continued —

No. 343.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Assault with deadly weapon
vs.	Demand, $
Con Gee DEFENDANT	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	16	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walton –
Retd		

No. 344

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

F. H. Woodren & Wm. Easton

DEFENDANTS

Action Petit Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton
Rep.^d	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants held for trial and Committed to the Sheriff of Inyo County
	23.	Issued alias Warrant for Wm. Easton
	24.	This Cause set for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. July 25th 1888
	"	Issued Subpoena for the People & Defendants
	25th	2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court. Defendant Wm. Easton pleaded guilty as Charged. Defendant F. H. Woodren plead not guilty and says he is ready for trial. Hop Lee, Ah Sing, Wm. Easton & Miss Mary Knight sworn and examined as witnesses for the People after which the People rested. F. H. Woodren sworn and examined in his own behalf. Cause closed and Submitted. The court after having heard the evidence and having fully considered the same finds the defendant F. H. Woodren guilty as Charged. Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. July 26th 1888
"	26.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and informed by the Court of the nature of the Charge against them and of their plea and of the verdict of the Court and was asked if they had any legal Cause to show why the Judgment of the Court should not be pronounced against them. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you F. H. Woodren & Wm. Easton be and you are each of you fined in the sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine you and each of you.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 345

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Alex Meyer alias
A. C. Hunter
DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	18.	Complaint filed Warrant issued upon Court Walton Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty Cause set for hearing at 9 O.C. A.M. Aug 4th 1888 Defendant held for trial in sum of $50. Bond approved & filed
"	"	This Cause set for hearing at 9 O.C. A.M. Aug 4th 1888
Aug	4.	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court Cause continued generally
"	"	On Motion of the District Attorney this Cause is dismissed

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 346

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Rabin & Emil Walden alias Geo. Brown — Andy Krupp. Peter Meyer & Jack Evans — DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884. July 18	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence — Defendants allowed at once to leave the town. J. H. Dike J.P.

No. 347

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

E.P. Hughes and J.C. Hughes DEFENDANTS

Action Assault with a deadly weapon with intent to murder

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

C. M. Graton
Attorney for Defendant

1868 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	18.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given in Court Walker
"	19.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendant E.P. Hughes held in a bond of Two Thousand dollars for his appearance for Examination Bond approved and filed Defendant J.C. Hughes held for his appearance for Examination in a bond of Five Thousand dollars Bond approved & filed
"	25.	This Court met for Examination
Aug.	2.	Issued Subpoena for the People & Defendants
	3.	Issued Subpoena for Alex Canny as interpreter
"	11.	O.C.A.M. Defendants in Court Subpoena returned & filed Parties ready for examination Thomas Buck sworn and examined as witness for the People Alex Canny sworn as interpreter G.P. Burdett and Francisco Aparaguerre sworn and examined as witness for the Prosecution Defendant sworn and examined as witness for the Defence Counsel submitted The Court after hearing the testimony and hearing fully counseled the same Thereupon it appearing sufficient cause to believe the above named defendant J.C. Hughes guilty of the offence mentioned in the Complaint on file herein & Order him to be discharged [illegible] appearing to me that the offence of an Assault with a deadly weapon upon the persons of one G.P. Burdett and one Francisco Aparaguerre with force likely to produce great bodily injury has been committed and that there is sufficient reason to believe the above named E.P. Hughes guilty thereof. It is ordered that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Thousand ($5000) dollars and he is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until he give such bail Bond given approved & filed

E. B. Hicks J.P.

28

No. 348

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

J. N. Shaffer &c. — PLAINTIFF

vs.

E. Benfer & H. E. Seel — DEFENDANTS

Action Account.

Demand, $221.00

H. C. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Vinson
Attorney for Defendant

1884. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 20.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker.
21.	Summons returned showing service on Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of July 1884 and filed —
" 24.	Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint also his demand for an itemized account.
25.	Plaintiff files his itemized account — by request of Defendant.
Aug. 25.	Demurrer set for argument Aug 25th at 9 o'c. A.M.
25.	9 o'c. A.M. Defendant by his Atty. H. S. Vinson and Plff's Atty H. C. Griffith in Court and submitted demurrer which was overruled by the Court —
"	Defendant files answer denies each and every allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint contained —

No. 349.

In the Justice's Court of P. Township,

County of Yuma—

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Roland Featherly, Wm Roland
Col. Westfall, & S. E. Shanklin DEFENDANTS

Action Burglary—

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Const Hall's
Return 23.	hands Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against him
"	and of his rights in the premis Defendants plead guilty
	and waive the legal time for sentence—
"	Defendant allowed to leave the town of Yuma—

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 354

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doyle
DEFENDANT

Action Assault—

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker
21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Sept.	and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty — Cause examined — and defendant discharged upon the ground that the evidence will not sustain a conviction —
	S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 357.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Account

W. G. Dorsey — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Harri Bubs —

DEFENDANT

Demand, $22.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 21.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker —
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment ~~expired~~ & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walker —
23.	Summons returned Service personal, on the defendant Harri Bubs together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st day of July 1888 — and filed —
	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
28.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of twenty two and 25/100 dollars the amount found due — and the sum of Thirteen and 50/100 dollars Cost necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the amount found due — 22,25
	" " " Justice fees — Paid $4.25 — 8.50
	" " " Constable " — Paid $2.00 5.00
	Total amount of Judgment — $35,75
	S. H. Hill J.P. —
Aug. 1.	Judgment credited by the sum of $13.75
"	Leaving a balance now due and unpaid of $22.00
Sept. 15.	Issued Execution and gave Const. Bartlett —

No. 352.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Chr. Summer

DEFENDANT

Action Penal—

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walton—
"	Defendant in Court— and informed of the charge against him and of his rights— in the premises— Defendant committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba to await his examination—
	Cause set for examination at 3 o'clk P.M. July 24th 1888
	Issued Subpoena for the People and Defendant
"	Cause dismissed—

S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 353.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

B. M. Hayne — DEFENDANT

Action Battery.

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1886 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence. Defendant fined and paid $21.00.
24.	
Rept. "	

D. H. Hill J. P.

34

No. 3454

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

G. C. Schultz — PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. H. Hoech — DEFENDANT

Action Replevin & Damages

Demand, $267.00 Damages $100

Duffy & Duffy
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 23.	Complaint on Claim and delivery filed. Summons on Claim and delivery issued — Sheriff's Affidavit and Undertaking on Claim and delivery made and given. Cert: C. P. Walker

No. 1355

In the Justice's Court of (3) Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

E. H. McHenry — PLAINTIFF

vs.

R. Moore — DEFENDANT

Action Note & Account

Demand, $134.35/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 23.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker
24.	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walker.
"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file Issue on the 24th day of July 1888. and filed
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
26.	Now Comes the defendant by his attorney R. Bledsoe and demurs to the Complaint herein on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a Cause of action
"	This Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff at Plffs Cost. D. H. Wells. J.P.

36

No. 356.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Otto Schwarm alias

H. H. Cranz — DEFENDANT

Action Indictment - Perjury

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant Not guilty - Cause set for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. July 26th 1888 - Issued Subpoenas for People and defendant -
26.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial Witnesses sworn and examined Jno and Cause Closed argued and submitted - The Court after having heard the evidence and having fully examined the same orders that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged upon the ground that the evidence and circumstances of this case is insufficient to commit the defendant of the Crime of which he is charged -

D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 357

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Disturbing the Peace
vs.	Demand, $
John Doe Hart alias H. J. Hart DEFENDANT	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton.
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Refr.		and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded Not guilty. Cause set for trial at 9 o'c A.M. July 26th 1888 — Issued Subpoena for People and defendant —
	26.	9 o'c A.M. — Defendant in Court and demands trial by Jury — Cause continued until 1 o'c P.M. Venire & Subpoena issued —
	"	1 o'c P.M. Defendant in Court — Venire returned and filed. Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification — after which the following jurors were sworn to try the cause to wit: Wm. Shaw Kelly Cole. A. S. Wilkins. Eugene Lacam — J. Z. McDonald J. G. Luxenburg — H. J. Frank. J. R. Rader — R. B. Stevens. W. Barnack J. Z. McKenzie and M. L. Williams — J. P. French. J. L. Smith Geo. Reader and H. G. Nourtin. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — after which the Prosecution Rests — H. M. Shannon — Z. F. Craney and H. J. Hart — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense — Defense Closed — Cause Closed argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict. The Jury after a short retirement were returned into Court — by the proper officer of this Court — with the following verdict — We the Jury find the defendant not guilty — J. Z. McDonald foreman — Jury and defendant discharged — I S. H. Hill Justice of the Peace 3. Judicial Township in & for Inyo County — State of California find that to my mind this prosecution is Malicious or without probable Cause. I therefore order that the prosecutor J. P. French be and he is hereby taxed and required to pay the cost of this action — amounting to $14.00 —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 358.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. D. Ferrel DEFENDANT

Action Final —

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court Waives.
25.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Cause set for Examination at 9 o'c. A. M. July 26 1888. Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant.
26.	Cause dismissed upon the ground of the insufficiency of the Complaint on file herein — J. B. Hill. J.P.

No. 359.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

P Lamb
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Mary C. Mott
DEFENDANT

Action Note & Chattel

Demand, $28.25/100

Church & Carey
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 26.	Complaint filed Summons issued & case Called. Waller.
Sept. 25.	This Cause dismissed by order of the Plaintiff — J.P. per Said —
	D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 3611.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Yuba
State of California.

G. B. Campbell — PLAINTIFF

vs.

E. Murphy — DEFENDANT

Action Account —

Demand, $299.00

Sutphen & Buffington — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Cain & Caldwell — Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	26.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Walker —
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Walker —
"		Summons returned showing personal service of the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint — on file herein on the 26th day of July 1888 and filed —
"		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
"	31.	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint —
Aug.	13.	This cause set for trial at 11. o'c. A. M. Aug. 21st 1888 —
"	14.	Issued subpoena for the defendant — and given Constable —
"	21.	Parties in court and upon trial the defendant pay the Hundred and Fifty dollars — Attachment sold — and ~~Court~~ adjourned —

D. H. Hill Jr.

No. 361.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Robert Clark
DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 26	Complt filed Warrant issued & in Court — Walker —
27.	Defendant in Court — and informed of the Charge against him & of his rights — viz the Defendant — Called for trial viz the Sum of $100 — Bond approved and filed —
"	This Cause is dismissed — Defendant Charged with an assault with a deadly weapon — D. B. Hill J. P.

42

No. 362.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Matthew Fitzgerald - Jeremiah Fitzgerald & John Fitzgerald DEFENDANTS

Action Petit Larceny

Demand, $

E. S. Calvert
Attorney for Plaintiff

Pro
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights viz the Defendants Plead Not guilty Defendants held for trial in the sum of $250 Bail approved and filed
" 31.	Defendants Jere Fitzgerald and John Fitzgerald in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights viz the Defendants Defendants held for trial in the sum of $250 each Bail approved and filed
Aug. 3.	This Cause set for trial at 1 o'c P.M. Aug 10th 1888
" 9.	Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendants
" 10.	Issued returned and Jury Called
" 11.	2 o'c P.M. Defendants in Court and ready for trial Jurors and Subpoena returned and filed Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualifications Witnesses sworn and examined for and on Cause Closed argued and submitted to the Jury The Jury find the defendants not guilty Jury and defendants discharged

S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 363.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Robert Clark DEFENDANT

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand, $

R. B. Terry Attorney for Plaintiff

J. J. Hines Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walters
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
Ret'd	"	him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held
		for his examination in the sum of five hundred dollars
		Bond approved and filed —
"	31.	This Cause set for examination —
Aug.	3.	This Cause set for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Aug. 6th 1888 —
		Issued Subpoenas for People and defendant —
	6.	This cause Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Aug. 13th 1888
		Parties and witnesses instructed to be present at that time
	13.	This Cause Continued generally —
	29.	This Cause set for trial Oct. 4th 1888. at 11. O.C. A.M.
		Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant
Oct.	4.	11 A.M. this Cause Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 6th 1888 —
		in consequence of the absence of the defendant —
	8.	Defendant surrendered by his bail in their exoneration
		before the forfeiture of Defendant's bond — Defendant
		Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of
		Inyo to await his examination in default of bail —
	10.	This Cause set for Examination Oct. 16th 1888 at 11. O.C. A.M.
		Issued Subpoenas for the People —
	16.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court Cause Continued until 1. O.C. P.M. this day
	"	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his Examination
		Edward Symonds, Peter Boyle, O. O. Land & Dr. J. A. Pedlar sworn and examined
		as witnesses for the People. — Prosecution Closed — Defense waived the examination
		of any witness — Cause Closed and Submitted — The Court after hearing
		the evidence and having fully considered the same — and it appearing
		to me that the offense alleged in the Complaint on file herein has been
		Committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the ~~within~~
		above named defendant Robert Clark guilty thereof I order
		that he be held to answer to the same — and Committed to the
		Sheriff of the County of Inyo and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $1000.00
		and is committed to the Sheriff of Inyo County until he give such bail —
		E. H. Hill. J.P.

44

No. 364

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Ah Gee

DEFENDANT

Action Vs Feeding the Poor

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 27th	Complaint - filed Warrant - Issued & in Court - Bailed

No. 365

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

Action Account

G. H. Thomas, PLAINTIFF

vs.

Isaac J. Owen DEFENDANT

Demand, $36 50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 27.	Complaint filed Summons issued &c in Court — Walker
Aug. 3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant — together with a copy of the Complaint — on file herein and the 3. day of Aug — 1888 and filed —
" 9.	In this action the defendant — having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and the default — of the said defendant — in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the defendant — having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint — herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant — in the premises having been duly entered according to law —
"	Now at this day on application of the said Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment — be entered herein against the said defendant — in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint — on file herein —
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it — is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Thirty Eight — and 50/100 dollars the amount — due for and the sum of Seven dollars Costs — necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States — —
"	Judgment — for the amount — due for — 38.50
"	" " Justices fees — 5.00
"	" " Constable " 2.00
"	Total amount — of Judgment — $ 45.50

J. H. Hill. J. P.

Sept. 3. Issued Execution and gave Plaintiff —

" 11. Execution returned showing that defendant — is entitled to an order — of — $7.50 —

Issued Execution Sept-11th 1888

No. 866

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Geo. Lockwood

DEFENDANT

Action Transcript

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 30th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker

No. 347

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Richardson
DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July. 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court — Walton —
" 31.	Defendant in Court — and informed of the charge against him prel.
"	and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded guilty — and waived the legal time for sentence —
"	Defendant fined Eight dollars and costs until August the 4th to pay said fine —
Aug. 4.	Defendant Cant be found Sheriff.

D. H. Hill J.P.

48

No. 368.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. A. Pierce

DEFENDANT

Action Assault with a deadly weapon —

Demand, $

E. G. Caldwell
Attorney for Plaintiff

F. H. Short
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker. Defendant in Court — and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant held for his appearance in the sum of $500. Bond approved and filed.
Aug. 1st	This Cause set for hearing at 10. O.C A. M. Aug 9th 1888.
" 7.	Issued Subpoenas for the defendant —
" 9.	10. O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court — and ready for Examination — Wm. McCann — Chauncey Smith W. M. Smith W. Rodarmel & A. Ross & Frannie Rodarmel — Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People — Whereupon Closed Emmie Pierce — Clara Pierce & H. A. Pierce — Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the defendant — Cause Closed and Submitted — The Court took the same under advisement
22.	The Court — having heard the testimony in Cause and having fully Considered the Same — It appearing to be true that the offence alleged in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed — and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant H. A. Pierce guilty thereof — It is ordered that he be held to answer to the same — and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma — and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred dollars — and he is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma until he give such bail —

D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 369.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Robbery

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Guy Anderson

DEFENDANT

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Rela-	and of his rights in the premises — Defendant asked for his
"	Subpoena for examination in absence of $500 — Bond
	deposited and filed —
Aug. 2.	This Cause set for Examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Aug. 7th 1888 —
	Issued Subpoena — for the People & Defendant
" 7.	This Cause on motion of the District Attorney is hereby dismissed
	upon the ground of the absence of the principal witness —
	D. B. Hill J.P. —

50

No. 370

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

James Graham
PLAINTIFF

vs.

H C Cull
DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $ 80.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	1st Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walker.
"	Settled in full and dismissed

D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 371.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Replevin

J. R. Barkley & Mamie Barkley his wife
PLAINTIFFS

vs.

J. M. Sitton
DEFENDANT

Demand, $100.00 — damages $25.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 7.	Complaint on Claim and delivery made and filed Summons issued & given to Const. Constable E. H. Harris — affidavit and Undertaking on Claim and delivery made
" 6.	This Cause is dismissed by order of the Plaintiff Costs paid by Plff. Stilwell J.P.

No. 372.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Frank Williams, Robert Brown, Fred Dobbin, Richard Ford, John Bowie, & Geo. Wilkerson, Joe. Berry, H. Kelly, H. Crewe & M. Booth. DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Baillee Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence. Whereby by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you and each of you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff, imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for days each of you.
"	Defendants Joe. Berry, Henry Kelly, H. Crewe & M. Booth. were discharged — ordering mittimus not to issue.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 373.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,
County of Yuba
State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Thomas Martin

DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Hallin. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads Guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence. Defendant allowed to leave the town Aug 4th to his Mother in Oakland

D. H. Hill J.P.

54

No. 374—

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of [illegible]

State of California.

Ah Lem
PLAINTIFF

vs.

On Hee
DEFENDANT

Action Account for Rent

Demand, $170.00/100

Gray & Nesbit.
Attorney s for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 4.	Complaint filed Summons issued & Plffs atty —
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of Attachment issued & given Plaintiff's attorney —
28.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 27th day of Aug — 1888. Proof filed —
	Writ of Attachment executed returned & filed.
Sept.	5th. For this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
"	For this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law —
"	Now at this day on application of Gray & Nesbit attorneys for Plaintiff it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of One hundred and seventy dollars the amount claimed by Plaintiff and the further sum of fifteen dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action. all in Gold coin of the United States —
"	Judgment for the Principal sum for — $170.00
	" " " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " " Constable " 7.50
	Total amount of Judgment — $186.00

D. T. Hill, J.P.

No. 375

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
Grant Nelson DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton.
	6.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Rept. "		and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded guilty
		and waived the legal time for sentence —
		Defendant fined and paid $50. and discharged
		E. H. Hill J.P.

56

No. 376.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe —
Mary Roe
DEFENDANT

Action Arrest

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 6.	Complt and filed Warrant issued & sent Const Waller.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises defendant pleaded guilty and waives the legal time for sentence —
	Defendant allowed to go at work for one hours —
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 377.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Note of hand

Conrad McDonnie [illegible] — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm. Williamson

DEFENDANT

Demand, $100.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 6.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker.
"	Summons returned Duly served June on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file June on the 6th day of Aug. 1888. and filed.
"	This Cause is dismissed by order of the Plaintiff. E. B. Hill J.P.

No. 378

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Robert H. Clark

DEFENDANT

Action Lawsuit

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 6	Complaint filed. Warrant issued returnable forthwith Hon J. B. Campbell Supr Court Walker — D. P. Hill J.P.

No. 379.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

R. J. Madison, John Lair, Wm. Torrence, Ed. Lindsay, Clarence Gamma & Thos. Saddler — DEFENDANT

Action Indecent Exposure

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 6.	The above named defendants were brought in to Court by officer F. S. Walton and charged with the Crime of indecent exposure — to all of which the defendants plead guilty — The defendants being ignorant negroe boys generally quit young — they were represented by the Court — and promised if allowed to go this time that they would never be guilty of a similar offence —
Sept. "	E. B. Hill. J.P.

No. 3 81

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe & Jane Roe DEFENDANT S

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 28th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Baltis

No. 381.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Thomas Ogeny
DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued upon Court Trial Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence Defendant allowed to leave the town at once.
" "	
Sept.	

D. B. Hill. J.P.

62

No. 382

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

G. H. Thomas PLAINTIFF

vs.

A. C. Cox and Wife
A. C. Cox his wife — DEFENDANTS

Action Account-

Demand, $36.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug — 1888	7. Complaint filed Summons Issued & given Const. Walker
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walker

No. 383.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Frank Laurence

DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const: Walker.
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Sept "	and of his rights in the premises - Defendant guilty as Charged
	and waives the legal time for sentence —
	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence
	that you Frank Laurence be and you are hereby
	committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County
	of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the
	County Jail of said County for a term of
	Thirty days —

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 384

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Disturbing the Peace
vs.	Demand, $
Sam Ralston	Attorney for Plaintiff
DEFENDANT	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug —	8.	Complaint — filed Warrant — issued & in Court — Waltin —
Refd	"	Defendant gave bonds for his appearance for plea
	"	and trial —
	9.	This Cause dismissed — Defendant discharged —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 385

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

Action Account

Kutner Goldstein & Co — PLAINTIFFS

vs.

O. J. Mazzoni — DEFENDANT

Demand, $44.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 9.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Haller.

No. 386

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

H H Welch — PLAINTIFF

vs.

L H Halstead — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $180.00/100

W. E. Lucy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 9.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Walker Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Walker
" 17.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 11th day of August 1888 and filed —
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant on the premises is hereby duly entered according to law

No. 387.

In the Justice's Court of ____ Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

William Evans
DEFENDANT

Action Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1885 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued Ogier Constable Bartlett Returnable before Hon J.B. Campbell forthwith D.H. Hill J.P.

No. 388

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

Lerny Hing, PLAINTIFF	Action Account
vs.	Demand, $41 49/100
Mar Crip, DEFENDANT	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walters
	"	Affidavit made & filed for attachment. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walters
	17.	This cause dismissed having been settled in full —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 382.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe Roe alias
Wm L. Smith -
DEFENDANT

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Walkin-
" 11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights viz the premises - Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Inyo County to await his trial -
Sept " 25.	This Cause set for trial Aug 29th 1888. at 10. O'C. A. M - Issued Subp for the People -
29.	10. O'C. A. M. Defendant in Court and pleaded not guilty as Charged and Says he is ready for trial -
"	Witnesses sworn and examined for the Com Cause Submitted - The Court hearing heard the testimony and being fully convinced the Same - finds that the defendant is guilty as Charged and order that the defendant be and he is hereby Committed to the Sheriff of Inyo County and by Said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Inyo County for a term of Twelve days.

J. A. Hall J.P.

No. 391—

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Yuma
State of California.

John Mugan
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Alexander Jackson
DEFENDANT

Action Account—

Demand, $17.52/100—

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walton—
"	11.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 10th day of Aug 1888. and filed —
"	16.	In this action the defendant having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law—
	24.	This cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff—
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 391.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Geo. Smith. Geo. Allen. Sam. Kyle.
Wm. McCan & Dick Overholt
DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Walton —
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
Sept "	and of their rights in the premises. Defendants pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendants allowed to leave the town at once

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 392.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Ed. Anderson — DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & ar Const Walton.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
"	and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty
	and waives the legal time for sentence —
"	Defendant fined Twenty $20.00 dollars — fine Paid
	and Defendant discharged

J. P. Hill J.P.

No. 393.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Lopez
DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton.
Reg. "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded Not guilty. Defendant committed to the Sheriff of Yuma County to await his trial in default of $50.00 Bail.
	Defendant Bond approved and filed.
"	Cause set for trial at 11 O.C.A.M. Aug 15th 1888.
14.	Issued Subpoena for the People & Defendant.
15.	Defendant discharged no sufficient cause appearing.

D. H. Hall J.P.

No. 384.

In the Justice's Court of 8. Township,
County of Inyo.
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Grand Larceny
vs.	Demand, $
Peire Carbil – DEFENDANT	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law the defendant held for his appearance for Examination in Bond of $200.00 –
"	Case set for trial at 2 o'cl. P.M. Aug 13th 1888 –
" 13.	This case is dismissed upon the ground of the insufficiency of the evidence of the guilt of the defendant –
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 395

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma.

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe
DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1877 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker.

No. 396.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. J. McDermott

DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Hall —
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for trial
"	Defendant fined $10.00 and allowed until the 24th day of Aug — 1888 — in which to pay said fine —
24.	Defendant Cash — be fined

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 397.

In the Justice's Court of (B) Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

D. W. Bynum & Jno. Mills DEFENDANTS

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Nolan —
"	Defendants in Court — and informed of the Charge against them
Reps	and of their rights in the premises Defendants pleaded guilty
"	and name the legal time for trial —
"	Defendant fined $10.00 each and allowed until Aug the
	24th in which to pay said fine —
21.	The said fine Credited in the sum of $10.00 by J. H. Cole —
24.	Defendants Court be found —

D. H. Hill. J. P. —

No. 398.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Vagrancy
vs.	Demand, $
Joe. Tzchenbtti & Ge. Lauren	Attorney for Plaintiff
DEFENDANTS	Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walter
pd W	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants pleaded guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendants allowed to leave the town by 3 o'c P.M. to-day —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 399

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

S. A. Edmens & Jack Hays
DEFENDANTS

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Walton —
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
Refer "	and of their rights in the premises — Defendants pleaded guilty — and waived the legal time for sentence —
"	Defendants fined $10.00 each and allowed until Aug the 24th to pay said fine —
24	Defendants Cant be found

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 402

In the Justice's Court of 5. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Thomas Cluff, Geo. A. Brien, John L. Nevill & Thos. Regan DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 13.	Complt and Filed Warrant Issued & Defts Cont Walker.
" 14.	8. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants pleaded Not guilty as Charged. And there being no apparent proof of the guilt of the said defendants of the Crime of which they are Charged Except that they be discharged without prejudice to the people. S.A. Hill J.P.

No. 401

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Joaquin Cano — DEFENDANT

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

E. G. Calnell
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Const G. L. Lang of Indian Co
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma for Examination —
"	2. O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court and Says he is ready for Examination G. L. Lang Sworn and examined as a witness for the People - after which the People Close —
"	Joaquin Cano - Sworn and examined in his own behalf Cause Closed and Submitted the Court - after hearing the testimony Sworn and Examined and hearing fully Counseled the Same — and it appearing to the Court that the Crime of Grand Larceny has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Joaquin Cano - guilty thereof Order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of One thousand dollars - and he is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma until he give such bail —
	D. B. Hill J. P.
Sept 14	Returned and filed his bond for his appearance for trial - Bond Approved and filed —
	D. B. Hill J. P.

No. 402.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

& John Bull & Sam Hull

& Oscar Schieber DEFENDANTS

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given officer.
15.	Defendant Oscar Schieber in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleaded Not guilty as charged and allowed to appear on his own recognizance
Nov 1.	This cause dismissed for want of prosecution -
	D. K. Hill J.P.

No. 413.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

W. A. Jones and Calvary.
Ed. Johnson & Silas Thacker
DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 13.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given Const. Walker.
"	Defendants in Court and inform of the Charge against them
Rope "	and of their rights re the premises Defendants pleaded guilty and remanded to legal time for Justice — Defendants allowed at once to leave the town.
	D. B. Hill J. P.

84

No. 404.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Charles Powell DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court. Hill —
"	Defendant in Court — and informed of the Charge against
"	him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty
	and waived the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendant fined and paid $10.00 & Costs
	D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 405

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of [Fresno]
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
James [Lane] DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton -
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him -
Refd "		and of his rights in the premises Defendant Pleaded Not guilty -
		Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of the County of [Fresno] to await
		his trial in default of bond in the sum of $50.00 -
do.	15.	This cause is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution -
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 416.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Stick & Garton Cagins & James Daly DEFENDANTS

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 16	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walton.
"	Defendants Wm Stick and James Daly in Court and informed
"	of the charge against them of their rights in the premises
	Defendants plead not guilty & After Examining this Cause
	the Court orders the defendants discharged upon the
	grounds of the insufficiency of the testimony

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 407.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo.

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF.

vs.

Valle-Gongolaz

DEFENDANT.

Action Stolen Goods

Demand, $200 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1886 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 14.	Complaint and affidavit for Search Warrant filed and Search Warrant issued by said Court. Search Warrant returned showing that no property was found about the person of the defendant
Return	D. A. Hill J.P.

88

No. 404.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Ned Hall & Sam. Small &
Walter Gonzalez - H. H. Crum DEFENDANTS

Action Petit Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court - Defendant - Walter Gonzalez - in Court and informed of the
15	charge against him and of his rights in the premises -
2 W.	Defendant pleaded not guilty as charged defendant
	ruled for his appearance for trial in the sum of
	One Hundred dollars - Bond approved and filed -
16.	Defendant - H. H. Crum in Court and informed of the
	charge against him and of his rights in the premises
	Defendant - Pleaded not guilty - and committed to the
	Sheriff of the County of Yuma for his trial in default
	of bond in the sum of $100 00 - Bond approved & filed
25.	This cause set for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Aug 31st 1888 -
	Issued Subpoena for the People -
31.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and say they are ready
	for trial - Defendant pleaded not guilty as charged
	Bob Loucks Wm Anderson & Sam Hazzel - Sworn and
	examined as witness for the People Marcelia Cloud
	& D. Stutsman - Walter Gonzalez - & H. H. Crum. Sworn
	and examined as witnesses for the defendant Com-
	Cloud argued and submitted - The Court took
	the same under advisement - until 9. O.C. A.M. Aug 31st 1888 -
31.	9. O.C. A.M. Walter Gonzalez - discharged - H. H. Crum
	remanded to Jail Yuma Co. -

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 409.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. L. Cammack
DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Trial set.
15.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleaded Not guilty as charged, Defendant committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo to await his trial —
20.	This Cause is dismissed —

D. A. Hill. J.P.

No. 416

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Yuba
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
H. D. Cannock
DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent to Const: Walton-
" 16.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him-
Rehd "	and of his rights in the premises Defendant committed
	to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba to await-
	his examination —
" 21	This Cause is dismissed —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 411.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Anderson alias Robert Brown — DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1874 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Court Hall to Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Rpt "	and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded Guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence. Defendant allowed at once to leave the town.

S. B. Hill, J. P.

92

No. 412

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Mary Hall & Emmie Graham DEFENDANTS

Action Buying & receiving Stolen Property

Demand, $

H. H. Nicholl
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. S. Grace
Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Dept. Const. Bartlett —
" 20.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights — in the presence of Defendants Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma to await their Examination —
"	Cause set for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Aug. 21st 1884 —
20.	Issued Subpoena for the People & our Court —
21st.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court — Cause continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Aug 27th. 1884 —
	Issued Subpoenas for the People & our Court.
" 27.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and they are ready for their Examination — D. G. Edwards appointed Clerk — Issued Subpoenas for this Cause — W. Hunt — Miss H. E. Hunt & H. H. Bartlett sworn and examined as witness for the People sworn as to Clerk — Emmie Graham — sworn and examined in her own behalf — Cause closed argued and submitted to the Court — and that Mary Hall be discharged upon the ground that the testimony will not sustain the charge and that the Court will in the case of Emmie Graham take the same under advisement until 6. O.C. P.M. this day —
"	6. O.C. P.M. Defendants and Emmie Graham discharged —

D. G. Edwards Jr.

No. 413.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California, PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Roe (Negro) DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug- 15th	Complaint- filed Warrant- issued & rec'd- Sheriff. H. Lewis-

No. 414.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

W. L. Miller and Sam. Jary. DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued against Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants Examined and discharged upon the grounds that the evidence against them is insufficient to Commit them for the Crime Charged
	D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 415

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Yuma
State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Adolph Bosh, Otto Bosh, Frank Leonard, John Beckman, John Kinch, Robert Stewart, James Kelly — DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Walton
Retd.	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants pleaded not guilty
	"	Defendants John Beckman, Frank Leonard, Robert Stewart discharged upon the grounds that the evidence and circumstances are insufficient to convict them of vagrancy
	"	Defendants John Kinch, James Kelly, Adolph Bosh & Otto Bosh held for further examination
"	20.	Defendants in Court and discharged

S. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 416

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Thomas Maloney DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 16.	Complaint filed Warrant of arrest issued Jury Court-Waiver of defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleaded guilty — Defendant being in bad health was discharged — D. B. Hill J.P.
"	
Sept "	

No. 417.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Mono

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Joe Orrario DEFENDANT

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand, $

H. H. Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

S. J. Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed
Repo	"	to the Sheriff of Mono County to await his examination without bail — for the present.
Nov.	24	This Cause Set for examination Nov 28th 1888 at 10 O.C. A.M.
	"	Continued until 10 O.C. A.M. Dec 3rd 1888—
Dec.	1.	Cause Continued until 10 O.C. A.M. Dec 5th 1888. On motion of the defendant and by consent Dist. atty. H. H. Welsh—
	5.	Cause Continued until 10 A.M. Dec 21st 1888—
"	21	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and Says he is ready for Examination — Wm Murphy — W. H. Bryan Elmer Conner, Dr. Deardorff, Dr. Spenceley & Mark Stam — Sworn and examined as witness for the People — after which the Prosecution Closed — Joe Orrario Sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf — Cause closed argued and submitted. The Court after having heard the testimony and having fully considered the same — and it appearing to me that the offense of an assault with intent to commit Murder by the defendant Joe Orrario upon the person of one Mark Stam has been committed — and that there is cause to believe Joe Orrario guilty thereof — I order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of Mono County — and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Three Thousand dollars and he is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Mono — until he give such bail—

S. H. Hill J.P.
Ex officio Magistrate—

No. 418.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Frank Patterson, Phil Chandler Wm Garatt, Sam. Catts. and Chas. Shaw DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 16	Complaint filed Warrant issued upon Court — Walton Pff's Defendants in Court — and informed of the charge against them and of their rights — on the plea of Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence Defendants permitted to at once leave the town
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 419.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. A. Carter and N. B. Keyes — DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const C. P. Walker.
Sept 4 "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premis Defendant pleaded not guilty Defendants examined and discharged upon the grounds that the testimony will not Convict defendants as Charged D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 420—

In the Justice's Court of (3) Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of Calif[illegible] PLAINTIFF

vs.

Otto Bruce, John Nelson and Joseph Arnold DEFENDANT 3

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug— 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & put in Court— Walker—
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
"	and of their rights viz the premises Defendants plead guilty
	and name the legal time for sentence—
"	Defendants permitted to go to the Eggers Ranche— and
	go to work—

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 421.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of Calefornia — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe, Dam Roe — Smith Doe & Peter Roe — DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884 Aug. 18.	Peter Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Constable.

No. 422.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo—

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Peck Thompson & Jennie Sullivan DEFENDANTS

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

R. B. Ivey—
Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Nolan—
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 18.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Valkins
Aug. 20.	Defendant Jennie Sullivan in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights in the premises Defendant committed to the Sheriff of this County of Inyo to await her examination in default of bail fixed in the sum of $500—
" 22.	Defendant Pauline Thompson in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights in the premises Defendant held for examination in the sum of $500— Bond offered and filed—
" 23.	This Cause by consent of Parties set for examination at 2. O.C. P. M. Aug 23d 1888—
" 2. O.C. P. M.	Defendants in Court— Now Come the District Attorney R. B. Ivey and Move the Court to discharge the above named defendants upon the ground that the evidence in this proceeding will not warrant a conviction— The Court hearing fully considered said Motion ordered that the defendants be discharged

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 423.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Harmon — DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 18.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court - Bail in
Reba 4	20. Defendant in Court - and informed of the Charge against him - and of his rights in the premis Defendant pleaded guilty - and waives the legal time for Sentence - Defendant permitted to stand committed by J. O. C. P. M. this day Aug 20th 1888 -
B.	O. C. P. M. - Defendant having failed to Comply with the order of the Court - and his Sentence -
"	Whereupon by reason of the Same and the premises aforesaid - it is ordered and adjudged that for said Offence Said you Wm Harmon be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo - and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County - for a period of Sixty - days -

E. A. Hill J. P.

No. 424.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township, County of Mun- State of California.

Adolph Ephraim PLAINTIFF	Action Account
vs.	Demand, $39.75/100
G. C. Doherty DEFENDANT	L. H. Pricale Attorney for Plaintiff
	Bruce Cant Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	18.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Walker.
"	24.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the 24th day of Aug 1888. and filed —
"	29.	Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein.
	30.	Demurrer set for argument at 3 O.C. P.M. Aug. 30 1888
"		This cause dismissed by consent of Plffs. & cost paid by Plff. D. H. Hill

No. 425

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

W. B. Hill, PLAINTIFF	Action Account
vs.	Demand, $134.00/100
E. B. Rogers, DEFENDANT	Edward Lynch, Attorney for Plaintiff
	Gayle & Caldwell, Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	17.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walton
"	24.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 18th day of Aug. 1888. and filed —
	22.	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Gayle & Caldwell and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint contained —
	25.	This Cause set for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Aug. 31st 1888. Issued Subpoena for Plaintiff —
	30.	Plaintiff files his amended Complaint —
	31.	10 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and waive trial by Jury — Parties ready for trial — W. B. Hill. Mr. Lovall and Mr. Robinson sworn and examined as witness by Plaintiff — Plff. Rests — Mr. Robinson on the stand for defendant — E. B. Rogers sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf. Cause Closed argued and Submitted. The Court took the same under advisement
Sept.	3.	10 O.C. A.M. The court after having fully considered this matter Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $134.00 the amount sued for and the sum of $17.30 the costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
	"	Judgment for the principal sued for — 134.00
		" " Justice fees — 6.50
		" " Constable " 3.50
		Witness Mr. Lovall one day 24 m — 6.80
		verifying the cost bill — .50
		Total amount of Judgment $151.30
		S. H. Hill J.P.
	4.	Plaintiff filed his Cost Bill — $17.30
"	13.	Defendant filed his notice of appeal to the Superior Court —
	14.	" " " Undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court

Papers transmitted S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 426.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Grant Victorson

DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 18.	Complaint filed Warrant issue Upon this Court Walton
" 21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant Pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence Defendant Committed to Jail the term

D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 427.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Michael Humphrey
DEFENDANT

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1885 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court — Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence —
Reference	Defendant is hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Ten days.
	D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 428.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. A. Hawkins DEFENDANT

Action Sustaining the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1858 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Watkins
	2nd.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for trial.
"		Defendant fined $20.00 and allowed until Aug. 30th in which to pay said fine.
"	30.	Defendant Cant be found

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 429.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF
vs.
John Doe alias.
Edwin Barber
DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1887 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Barker
Return	20. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleaded Not-guilty - Defendant committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma to await his trial -
"	Defendant in Court - came & withdrew his plea of Not-guilty and pleaded guilty - which was granted - Defendant - remanded the legal time for sentence -
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Edwin Burke be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma - and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days.
	E. A. Hill J.P.

No. 430.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Matthew Fitzgerald and Thomas Price DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued + given Const. Walker
Rets		Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against
		them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants pleaded
		guilty and waive the legal time for sentence –
	"	Defendant Thomas Price fined and Paid $10.00 Discharged –
	"	Defendant Matthew Fitzgerald allowed until Aug. 25th to
		pay said fine –
Sept	15.	Defendant cannot be found –

E. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 431

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jack K. Hagan — DEFENDANT

Action For taking the Deer

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court Walton —
Sept. 1.	Defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant and his counsel was allowed to go home —

E. B. Hill J.P.

No. 432.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Peli Sullivan
DEFENDANT

Action Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty as Charged and sentence the legal time for sentence Defendant allowed to leave the town
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 433.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Tuna

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Martin Hartmann — DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Walker.
21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded not guilty. Defendant called for trial on bond of $50 Bond approved & filed. This Cause set for trial at 10 O'C. A.M. Aug 24th 1888.
22.	This cause dismissed defendant discharged.

S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 434.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Chas. Jones
DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence — Defendant fined in the sum of $10.00 and allowed two days in which to pay said fine —
" 15.	Defendant Court be fined —

S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 435.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doulen DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1885 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Sheriff Inyo Co. R. M. Bell.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Recess "	and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence — Defendant allowed to go to work the hearing next to do — two hours —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 436

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Hawley & John Sule DEFENDANTS

Action Bastardy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1868 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 21	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable.
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants pleaded guilty and waive the legal time for Justice.
"	Defendants permitted to at once leave the town.
	D. B. Hill, J.P.

No. 437.

In the Justice's Court of 3d. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Mann, John Roland and
Jim Smith
DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker
23.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence
"	Defendants allowed one hour to leave the town
	E. A. Hill. J.P.

No. 438.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba.

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe
DEFENDANT

Action to Testify the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Baker.

No. 439.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

James Allen alias James Morey DEFENDANT

Action Jail Breaking

Demand, $

R. B. Gray Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 27. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Made
" James Morey in Court and informed of the charge against him
" and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed
to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne to await his Examination
" This Cause Set for Examination at 11. O.C. A.M. Aug 28th 1888
Issued Subpoenas for the People & given to Sheriff H.B. Gillespie
28. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his
Examination — D.S. Edwards appointed Short hand reporter
for this Cause — O.J. Made H.B. Gillespie and John Tunnis
Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People — and
James Morey Sworn and Examined in his own behalf —
Cause Submitted — The Court after hearing the testimony
and hearing fully considered the same and it appearing
to the Court that the offence of Jail breaking has been
committed and that there is sufficient to believe that
the above named defendant James Morey guilty
thereof — Ordered that he be held to answer to the Superior
Court and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne
and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of
$1000 — and he is committed to the Sheriff of the
County of Tuolumne until he give such bail —

D.S.H. Hill J.P.

No. 444.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. R. Immenhalle DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1885. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & put in Court. Walk-
"	defendant in Court and informed of the charge against
"	him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded Not
	guilty and waived the legal time for Defence.
"	Defendant permitted to leave the room at once to
	bring in Bonds.

J. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 441

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
Henry Hennig DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

R. B. Irvin Esq.
H. H. Welsh Attorney for Plaintiff
J. M. Wilcox Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against
Deft.	him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded not guilty
"	Defendant deposited $50.00 in stead of Bond for his appearance
	for trial. Cause set for trial at 9 O.C. A. M. Aug 24th 1888 –
	Defendant demands trial by Jury – venire issued also
	Subpoena for the People –
24.	9 O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court – venire returned & filed
	Parties ready for trial – Jury sworn to answer
	question as to qualification – after which the
	following jurors were sworn to try the cause to wit:
	G. I. Mills G. W. Gray – W. H. Knapp C. C. Cole – E. H. Howland
	F. M. Chittenden. Jake Cole – J. J. Barrett – Lee Guadalupe
	J. D. McConnell – M. L. Williams John Gray –
	W. D. Keys – W. R. Catlin J. O. Caldwell – and Mr. Brooks
	sworn and examined as witnesses for the People –
	A. Walter G. W. Schneider – Henry Hennig – sworn and
	examined as witnesses for the defense. Cause closed
	argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation
	and verdict – The Jury after a short deliberation
	were returned into court with the following verdict
	to wit: We the jury find the defendant guilty as
	charged – M. L. Williams foreman – Jury discharged
	and defendant ordered to appear for sentence at 9 O.C. A. M.
	Aug 25th 1888 –
25.	9 O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court – this being the time fixed for
	Sentence – Defendant was fined and paid $25.00 and
	discharged –

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 442.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Malicious Mischief
vs.	Demand, $
Ygnacio Rangel DEFENDANT	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker.
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence.
Ref.	"	Defendant fined and paid $10.00 and discharged.
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 443

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. J. Mitchell DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Walters. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant tried and acquitted and discharged
Refd. "	

J. H. Hall. J. P.

No. 444.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jack C. Hanson

DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 24	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Baker.

No. 445

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Yuma
State of California.

Action Account

Thomas E. Hughes & Son
PLAINTIFFS

vs.

R. H. Glenny & W. S. Wilson
DEFENDANTS

Demand, $1118.75/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given the Plaintiff — affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff
29.	Summons returned Personal Service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 28th day of August 1888 and filed —
"	Writ of attachment issued Executed returned and filed —
31.	Cause now the defendants above named and demurs to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein on the grounds that said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action —
31.	This cause coming on to be heard by order of the Plaintiff being paid in full — Dismissed, Hill J.P.

No. 446

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Vagrancy
vs.	Demand, $
Wm Brown	Attorney for Plaintiff
DEFENDANT	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 14	Rec'd Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. J. L. Walters

No. 447.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

G. B. Poiley DEFENDANT

Action Assault with a deadly weapon — with intent to murder

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. G. Brady

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Sheriff Thiele
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Reset-	and of his rights in the premises - Defendant asked for his
"	appearance for trial in about of $500: Bond approved & filed
28.	This cause set for examination Sept. 4th. 1888 at 10. O.C. A. M.
Sept.	3. Issued Subpoenas for the Defendant & given to Sheff.
"	4. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for
	Examination - A. C. Bess - Wm. Sayer - G. H. Mosp. Ge. Clark
	C. H. Gay - Sworn and examined - as witnesses for the
	Prosecution - People - Closed - The Defendant offered
	no testimony - Cause Closed argued & Submitted -
	The Court took the same under advisement -
"	7. The Court having heard the testimony and having fully
	Considered the same and it appearing to me that the
	Offence of an Assault with a deadly weapon
	on the 26th day of August upon the person of one
	A. C. Bess has been Committed and that there is
	sufficient Cause to believe the above named
	defendant G. B. Piley guilty thereof - I order that he
	be held to answer to the same and Committed
	to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo - and that he be
	admitted to bail in the sum of Six hundred dollars
	and that he Committed to the Sheriff of the County
	of Inyo until he give the bail - this bond
	on file herein -

E. B. Thiele J.P.

No. 448.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe
DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1887 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walton.
"	Defendant in Court — and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleaded not guilty — Defendant — held for trial in the sum of $50 Bail appeal —
28.	This cause set for trial at 10 A.M. Sept the 6th 1887 —
Sept. 6.	This Cause dismissed for want of prosecution —

D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 449.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe — Tom Roe & Jim Doe — alias — J. D. Arbuckle — John Norton and Chas. Bennett — DEFENDANTS

Demand, $

H. A. Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Bruce Carr
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights as the Same. Defendants plead not guilty Cause set for trial at 10. O'C. A. M. Sept 5. 1888 Defendant held for trial in sum of $250 each & them Bond approved & filed. Issued Subpoena to the Peop-
Sept. 5.	10. O'C. A. M. Defendants in Court and ready for trial Witnesses Sworn and examined as witness for the Peop Cause Closed argued and Submitted. The Court after hearing the testimony and having fully Considered the Same, orders that the defendants be discharged on the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Convict.

D. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 450

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. H. Ferguson & E. S. Rogers
DEFENDANTS

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & pris. Court — Parties — Defendants in Court — and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plached Guilty — and name the Legal time for sentence — Defendants fined in the sum of $10.00 each & Costs — J. H. Ferguson — at once paid said fine and is discharged —
" 28.	E. S. Rogers paid his fine of $10.00 and is discharged

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 451.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Walter H. Clare — DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 27	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Walker
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence
"	Sentence not to fail for ten days
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 452.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Gee. Allison —
DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker —

No. 453.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

H. B. Grady — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Daniel Mejia - and Brabella Immujia — DEFENDANT 3

Action Account —

Demand, $ 50 ⁰⁰

H. B Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

D. G. Edwards —
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 28	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Plaintiff
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed - Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff
29	Summons returned Duly served personal Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 28th day of Aug - 1888 - and filed —
	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
Sept. 3	Now comes the defendant by their attorney D. G. Edwards, and for answer denies each and every allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein Entered —

No. 454.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Joe Nolan, "Col"

DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Hallin
Sept. 29.	Defendant in Court — and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded not guilty — Defendant deposited a check on the 1st National Bank for his appearance for trial in the sum of $215.00 —
"	This Cause set for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Sept. 5th /78 —
31.	Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant.
Sept. 5.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — tried and acquitted —

D. F. Hall J.P.

No. 455-

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

James Riley DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1877. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
Apl	him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty
"	and waived the legal time for trial —
	Defendant permitted to stand committed

D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 456.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Robt. Brown – Ed. Silva Baden

Hallquin. Thos Lindsay & Geo. Newton DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walters.
	30.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
Deft's	"	and of their rights in the premises. Defendants pleaded guilty
		and waived the legal time for sentence –
	"	Defendants allowed at once to leave the town –
		J. H. Hill, J.P. Jr –

No. 457.

In the Justice's Court of C. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe — DEFENDANT

Action Assault with a deadly weapon —

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 29.	Rehe. Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Const Walker.

No. 458

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Ed. Bruno and Ed. Kenly DEFENDANTS

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton.
	30.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendants allowed to go home say they live some fifteen miles from here are working on a farm —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 45-9.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

N. Barbara — PLAINTIFF

vs.

E.B. Miller and his wife — DEFENDANTS

Action Ejectment

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug- 29.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const- Walker-
Sept. 17.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant- Wm E.B. Miller- together with a Copy of the Complaint- on file herein on the- Aug 1888. and filed-

No. 464.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Robert Smith

DEFENDANT

Action Burglary.

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Constable Watkins.
Sept. 1.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence.
	Defendant says he is sheep herder and can get a job, is ready to pay all costs and is willing to go to work.
	E. B. Hill J.P.

No. 461.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Demasters

DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. Robt 30.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker
Sept 17.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads Not guilty.
"	This Cause set for trial at 1 O.C. P.M. Sept 24th 1888 Defendant discharged $40.00 as bail for his appearance for trial
	Issued Subpoena for the defendant
" 24.	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court Examined and acquitted

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 462

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

C. G. Bayle — PLAINTIFF

vs.

W. J. Bury, E. B. Foley, and W. L. Hedrick — DEFENDANTS

Action Note & Mortgage

Demand, $129.28/100

Bayle & Caldwell
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 30.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walton.
31.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendants together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 30th day of Aug 1888 — and filed —
Sept. 7.	This action is credited by the Sum of $58.35/100 — Paid by Defendant — J. W. Bury — on receipt — Signed by W. C. Caldwell — also — $4.84/100 on the Cost — in this Action —
" 11.	This action is credited by the Sum of $58.37/100 — Paid by Defendant — J. B. Foley — on receipt — Signed by W. C. Caldwell — also — $4.84/100 — on the Cost — in this action —
	Aggregating the Sum of $126.30/100 — Paid on said Action — leaving an amount due and unpaid of $63.15/100 — to date —
12.	In this action the defendants — having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendants in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
"	In this action the defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law
"	Now at this day on application of Attorney W. C. Caldwell It is ordered that judgment herein against the said Defendants be entered in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendants the Sum of $63.15/100 — amount now due and unpaid on said action — and the Sum of $2.00 Cost accrued in behalf of said Plaintiff — Amount of Judgment Principal & Cost — $65.15

Above judgment paid in full May 14th 1889 W. C. Caldwell Atty for Pltff

This judgment satisfied this 14th day of May 1889 W. C. Caldwell Atty for Pltff

No. 463

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of June

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Enoch Malcomian — DEFENDANT

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1886 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 31.	Complaint filed, Warrant issued & given Const Walker
Sept "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of the County of June to await his trial.
"	Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence.
	Defendant fined and Costs $10.00 and may discharge
	D. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 464.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Ha
DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 30.	Complaint filed Warrant Issued Depu Const Walker

No. 465—

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Dan Roe —

DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 30.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Sheriff Walker.

No. 466.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe — DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1879 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 30.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent to Constable.

No. 467.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Yuma
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
Claud Evert DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1881 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	31st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton
Sept.	1.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises & Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence — Defendant allowed at once to leave the town

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 468.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. J. Humphreys and William Prather — DEFENDANT

Action Embezzlement Manslaughter

Demand, $

W. C. Burpee — Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Morrison — Attorneys for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court - Prather -
Pl'ff	"	Defendant J. J. Humphreys in Court - and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant gave Bond in the sum of $1000. for his appearance in this Court for his Examination -
Sept.	3.	Defendant William Prather in Court - and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant called for his appearance for Examination in Bond of $1000 Bond approved and filed -
"		This Cause set for Examination at 2 O'C. P.M. Sept 6th 1888
"	6.	11. O'C. A. M. Parties in Court Cause Continued until 2 O'C. P.M. Sept. 11th 88
"		Issued Subpoena for the defendants & in Court - Prather -
11.		2. O'C P.M. Defendants in Court - Subpoena returned & filed This Cause is Continued by Consent of Parties until 2. O'C. A.M. Sept. 12th 1888 - Subpoena issued for Defendants -
12.		10. O'C. A.M. Defendants in Court - Issue attachment to A. Wood defaulting witness - Wood in Court - Parties ready to proceed with the examination. On motion of acting District Attorney Edward Lynch C. H. Garbutt was appointed District Prosecutor for the Case only - Witnesses sworn and put under the rule of the Court - E. B. Rogers and A. A. Wood Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution Court took a recess until 2 O'C P.M. this day -
"		2. O'C. P.M. Defendants in Court. Parties ready to proceed Gebra Winning. Joseph Simmons. M. M. Sinderman and Aaron Anderson Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution E. B. Rogers re called by the Prosecution - People Rest -
"		H. D. Prather sworn and examined as a witness on behalf of the defense -
"		Court adjourned until 2. O'C. P.M. Sept. 13th 1888 -
"	13	2. O'C. P.M. Defendants in Court by Consent of parties in this action C. H. Garbutt the appointed District Prosecutor for this Cause only being absent - E. F. Bernhard the regular District Prosecutor - By. 149

No. 469

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Yuma
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
Chs. O'Connell and John Nolan DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Def Court Walker Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence Defendants Permitted to withdraw their pleas — D. K. Hill J P
Yuma Page 148.	for this Court, assumed his duties as Sheriff and reported for the Continuance & examination of this Cause — at the hour 3 P.M. W. B. Prather on the Stand for Exp examination Cause Closed argued and Submitted. The Court took the same under advisement until 1 O.C.S.M. Sept. 17th 1888 —
Sept. 17.	1 O.C.S.M. Defendants in Court, this being the hour fixed for the finding of the Court — The Court having heard the evidence and having fully Considered the Same and it appearing to me that the offence of involuntary manslaughter on or about the 25th day of Aug 1888 has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe that one J. J. Dumphis is guilty thereof — I order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma and is admitted to bail in the Sum of one Thousand dollars and he is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma until he give such bail and filed as accepted Bail being no sufficient Cause to believe one of the above named defendants Emil Wm Prather guilty of the offence of involuntary manslaughter as above mentioned. I order him to be discharged — D. K. Hill. J.P. —

No. 471.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Restraining the Sale

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. B. Ewing, Chas. Burrel and William Hadley
DEFENDANTS

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent to Constable for service.
Sept. 1.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead not guilty as charged. Defendants committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo to await their trial. Defendant H. B. Ewing gave Bond in the sum of $500 for his appearance for trial. Bond approved & filed.
"	This cause tried and dismissed upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict.

J. A. Hill

No. 471

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

A. G. Severson — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Sam Edwards — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $7.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1877 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 1.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable. Affidavit & for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable.
"	This cause dismissed by order of the Plaintiff. D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 472.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF vs. John Doe — alias John McCarty DEFENDANT	Action Disturbing the Peace Demand, $ Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walters
Sept. "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded Not guilty. Defendant examined and discharged

J H Hill J.P.

No. 473

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Henry Linbaugh — DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of his rights in the premis Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence — Defendant and fined $5.00 — and discharged —
Sept. "	
"	

D. H. Hill J. P. —

No. 474

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

M. H. Callaghan - Mark Curran
Hugh Sweeney - DEFENDANTS

Action Battery

Demand, $

Ed. Lynch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court. Def. M. Bell - Defendants in Court - and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights - the Defendants plead not guilty - Cause set for trial at 10 o'c. A.M. Sept 3d. 1888 - Subpoena issued for the People & Defendant
" 3.	10 A.M. Defendants in Court - and ready for trial - Witnesses sworn and examined for and Cause Cause closed argued and submitted - The Court after hearing the evidence and having fully considered the same - Orders that Mark Curran and Hugh Sweeney be discharged - upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict - and that M. H. Callaghan - is guilty as charged and that the said M. H. Callaghan - appear for Sentence at 9 o'c. A.M. Sept 4th 1888 -
" 4.	9 o'c. A.M. Defendant in Court. His Counsel present for Sentence - Defendant - fined and said $200 - & dis charged

E. B. Hill J.P.

No. 475

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Thomas Sloan
DEFENDANT

Action Appeal

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights He the Defendant pleaded Not guilty Defendant discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 476.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Chas. Moon -
DEFENDANT

Action Bond

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1888 Feb'y	Sept: 3. Complaint filed Warrant Issued-Justice Court- R. M. Bell.

No. 477.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. Lee Smith
DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court C. H. Brown
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Sept.	and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty
	and waived the legal time for trial.
"	Defendant fined and paid $10.00 and discharged

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 478—

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma—

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jany Martin — DEFENDANT

Action Indecent Exposure

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec 4	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Walker.
Dec 4	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premis— Defendant tried and found guilty— Defendant waives the legal time for Sentence—
"	Defendant fined and Paid $20.00 & his Charges D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 479

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Goodman
DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker.
Sept 4.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence.
"	Defendant allowed to go to work.

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 4811.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF
vs.
Jeremy Smith
DEFENDANT

Action Obstructing the Levee

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker
Sept. 4.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights &c the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Jer. Smith be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for the period of fifteen days

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 481.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

V. Velarde

DEFENDANT

Action Assault—

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
Sept. "	him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty
	and waived the legal time for sentence —
	Defendant fined and Paid $10.00 —
	D. W. Hill. J. P.

No. 482.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Geo Kohler

DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court K. M. Bell-
Rote "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
"	him and of his rights- on the Demand Defendant pleaded
	Not guilty -- Defendant tried and acquitted on the
	ground that the evidence is insufficient to establish the Charge
	D. B. Hill. J.P.

No. 483.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Wm. Shida Inman — PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. N. Baggs — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $11.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 5th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. H. M. Bull
"	This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff having been settled in full by defendant —
	S. A. Hill J. P. —

No. 484.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Jane McClellan — PLAINTIFF

vs.

E. H. Baird & Nellie Gates — DEFENDANTS

Action Note & Account

Demand, $135.00 Principal & Interest

Haight & Caldwell
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	5th Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const: Walker —
"	20. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint — on file herein on the 13th and 20th days of Sept — 1884, and filed —
Oct.	29. This cause heard in full and dismissed

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 485

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

R. B. Johnson
PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. D. Ziegler
DEFENDANT

Action Note & Mortgage.

Demand, $23.00 & $10.00 att'y fee

Wilcox & Curtis
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	5. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Walker
	6. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 6th day of September 1888. Same filed
	13. Defendant filed his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein
	14. By order of Plaintiff's attorney Curtis this Cause is hereby dismissed at Plff's Cost
	D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 486.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

R. B. Johnson PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. Pruen DEFENDANT

Action Note of hand

Demand, $21.00 & $10.00 atty fees

Wilcox & Curtis Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	5.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walton
Oct.	12.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 11th day of Oct. 1888 & filed —
"	17.	This Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff — Jdg. fees paid —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 487.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

R. B. Johnson — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Lennis Donnelly — DEFENDANT

Action Debt & Damages

Demand, $75.-15/100 & $15.00 atty fee —

Millard & Cantin.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 5.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker —
" 6.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant — together with a Copy of the Complaint — on file herein on the 5th day of Sept 1888 — and filed —
" 13.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —

No. 488.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Butte
State of California.

R. B. Johnson PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. B. Rice

DEFENDANT

Action Note & Account

Demand, $35.25/100 & $10.00 atty's fee

Williams & Curtin
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 5.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker

No. 489.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

R. B. Johnson — PLAINTIFF

vs.

A. H. Manfuth — DEFENDANT

Action Note & Mtge.

Demand, $41.00 & $15.00 Attys fees

Miller & Cranston
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	5.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given out — Marshal —
Oct.	11.	Summons returned & duly served on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day of October 1888 and filed —
"	17.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with Summons and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein filed and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —

No. 490

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Fred Welkey

DEFENDANT

Action Nuisance

Demand, $

W. H. Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1887 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Barker
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Sept.	and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleaded not guilty
"	Cause set for trial at 9 o'c a m. Sept. 8th 1887. -
	Defendant demanded trial by Jury. Issue & Subpoenas issued
	Defendant gave Bond in the sum of $500 for his appearance for
	trial — Bond approved and filed —
8.	Cause continued until Sept 15th 1887 —
15th	Subpoenas issued for the People and defendant.
"	This cause tried defendant acquitted — —

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 491

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Nine

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

S. Lefflun alias
John Lungren
DEFENDANT

Action Nuisance

Demand, $

H. H. Wilson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1885 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept- 6.	Complaint- filed Warrant- Issued & given Court- Battin
" Bobbe-	Defendant- in Court- and informed of the Charge against- him and of his rights- in the premises- Defendant- pleads Not-guilty as charged- Defendant- Committed to the Sheriff of the
	County- of Nine- to await- his trial in default- of a bond
	of One Thousand- dollars- Defendant- bond Signed & filed
"	This Cause- set- for trial 1. O.C. P.M. Sept- 10th 1885-
	Defendant- demands trial by Jury Venire & Subpoenas Issued
10.	Cause- Continued until Sept- 12th at- 2. O.C. P.M-
	Venire and Subpoenas Issued & given Court- Battin
12.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant- in Court- and Venire & Subpoenas
	Returned- Served- Cause- Continued until 9. O.C. A.M. Sept. 13th 1885.
13.	9. O.C. A.M- Defendant- in Court- Venire and Subpoenas
	returned and filed- By Consent- of parties ten Jurymen Sworn in by the Court- Witnesses Sworn and
	examined for and On- Cause- Closed argued and
	Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict.
	The Jury after deliberation found the Defendant- Not-guilty-
	The Jury- and discharged-

E. H. Hall J.P.

No. 492.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. W. Ray
DEFENDANT

Action An assault with a deadly weapon

Demand, $

F. V. Gaspar
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 6	Complaint filed Warrant issued & ptf appears Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant asked for his appearance for trial in the sum of $500 — Bail approved and filed —
Sept 7	This cause set for examination Sept 15th 1888 10 O.C. A. M.
11.	Subpoenas issued for the People and Defendant
15.	This cause continued until 2 O.C. P.M. Sept 17th 1888
17.	2 O.C. P. M. Defendant in Court — Cause continued until 5 O.C. P.M.
"	5 O.C. P. M. Defendant in Court — and ready for Examination — J. J. Hume, E. C. Mitchell, Sandia Robinson, and G. W. Wicks Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People Plaintiff in Chief — Defendant waived the introduction of any testimony — Court Charged and Submitted — The Court after hearing the testimony and having fully considered the same — It appearing to me that the offence of Assault with a deadly weapon as charged in the Complaint herein has been committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant J. W. Ray guilty thereof Orders that he be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred dollars and he is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until he give such bail —
18.	Defendant's Bond filed and approved —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 493—

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo—

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Sullivan & Wm M. E. Simms

DEFENDANTS

Action Battery—

Demand, $

H. H. Holt
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Citron
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 6th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Constable—
7.	Defendant Wm Sullivan in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo to await his examination in default of Bail in the sum of $500.00
17.	This Cause set for Examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Sept 19th 1888—
	Issued Subpoena for the People & Defendant & Jas Carr—
19.	Continued until 2 O.C. P.M. Sept 21st 1888—
21.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination. Witness sworn and examined Jas and Carr— Court Clerk argues and Submitted The Court having heard the evidence and being fully convinced the same ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Commit—
	S. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 494

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Geo. C. Brown — DEFENDANT

Action Insurance

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent to Constable Walker
Sept. 8.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for trial on his recognizance —
" 15.	This cause dismissed Evidence having been returned —

D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 4957

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Sam Halda

DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 8	Complaint filed Warrant issue dept Const. Bell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Sept 9	and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty as charged and waived the legal time for sentence Defendant sentenced to jail for a term of ten days.
	J. H. Bell J.P.

No. 496

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Yuba
State of California.

Barker & Hamilton — PLAINTIFFS

vs.

Jas. Harriman and D. C. St. John DEFENDANTS

Action Note & Account —

Demand, $43.22/100 —

H Austin.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 8.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker.
" 18.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint — on file herein and 18th day of Sept 1884. and filed
" 20.	This Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff's Counsel
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 497.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Debt & Lien

Barker & Hamilton
PLAINTIFFS

vs.

Ed. Perry P. B. Donahue and S. C. St. John
DEFENDANTS

Demand, $ 24.00

H. Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. Oct. 8.	Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Walker.
" 11.	Summons returned & sworn served on the defendants P. B. Donahue and S. C. St. John by copy with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 6th day of October 1884 and filed.
" 14	In this action the defendants P. B. Donahue and S. C. St. John having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and the default of the said defendants in the premises having duly entered according to law.
"	In this action the defendants P. B. Donahue and S. C. St. John having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and the default of the said defendants in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of H. Austin the Plaintiffs Attorney it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendants the sum of Thirty four and 92/100 dollars the principal and interest owed for and the sum of Eight and 40/100 dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States.
"	Judgment for the principal and interest owed for — 34.92
	" " " Justices fees — 5.00
	" " " Constable " 3.40
"	Total amount of Judgment — $43.32

R. St. Hill J.P.

No. 494.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo.

State of California.

Action Writ of Lien

Barker & Hamilton PLAINTIFFS

vs.

Ben B. Brown & D. C. H. Gehn DEFENDANTS

Demand, $67.80/100

H Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 4.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker.
" 14.	Summons returned Showing personal Service with Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint — on file herein on the 14th day of Sept 1888 — and filed —
" 26.	In this action the defendant having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
"	In this action the defendants having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application H. Austin Plaintiffs attorney it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the Sum of Eighty Seven and 55/100 dollars the principal and interest due for and the Sum of Eight and 40/100 Cents necessarily expended in the prosecuting this action in Gold Coin of the United States —
"	Judgment for the principal and interest due for — $87.55
	" " " Costs as per — 5.00
	" " " Constable " 3.40
"	Total amount of Judgment — $95.95

S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 499.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

Action Note of Hand

Barker & Hamilton. PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jacob Reeder & S. C. St. John DEFENDANTS

Demand, $36.00 & interest.

H. Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	8.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker.
Oct.	1st	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 1st day of Oct. 1888 and filed—
	12.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
	"	In this action the defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendants in the premises having been duly entered according to law— Now at this day on application of H. Austin Plaintiffs attorney it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein—
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendants the sum of Fifty four and 38/100 dollars the Principal and interest now due and unpaid in this action and the sum of Eight and 40/100 dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States—
	"	Judgment for the Principal and interest found for— 54.38
		" " Justice fees— 5.00
		" " Constable " — 3.40
	"	Total amount of Judgment $62.78
		S. H. Hill. J.P.
	22.	Issued Execution and gave Const— 1.25
		Constables costs. 3.00
1889		
July	1	Execution issued & given to J. D. Morgan Constable
"	2	Execution returned satisfied in full.
		Jan °/59 Justices costs $5.00 to S H Hill

This Judgment satisfied in full & rec'd $57.50 in full of Oliver Walcott J.P. this July 2d 1889 J. H. Short Atty for deff

No. 600.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Yuba
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Burd Packard DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

H. A. Wibel Attorney for Plaintiff

Wheeler & Shurtleff Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & defendant arrested & brought into Court. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant held for trial in the sum of $500. Bail offered & filed
" 21 "	Now comes assistant District Attorney H. A. Wibel and moves the Court to dismiss this action upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict the defendant.

D. K. Hill J.P.

No. 501.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

James Arthur
DEFENDANT

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept- 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Sept. Const. Harris-
"	Defendant brought Court and informed of the charge against him
	and of his rights on the premises Defendant plead guilty
Sept- "	and waived the legal time for sentence
	Defendant fined and paid $5.00 — G. W. Summers Const
	table and approved the payment of five dollars to
	be paid Sept 15th 1888
15.	Paid by defendant and fully discharged

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 502

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

H. E. Brown — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jim Maloney — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $165.00 —

P. Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 4.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plff's Atty.
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plff's atty
" 29.	Summons returned Hearing Journal Entries on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 26th day of September 1888 — and filed — Writ of attachment Receipt returned and filed.
Oct. 12.	In this action the defendant having been regularly summoned with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having elapsed and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having elapsed and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law
"	Now at this day on application of P. Jackson Plaintiff's atty it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $165.00 — the amount sued for and the sum of $ Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action —
"	Judgment for the amount sued for — 165.00
	" " " " Justice fee — 8.50
	" " " " Constable " — 18.00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $191.50
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 503

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

C. E. Packer — DEFENDANT

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court — Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the premises — Defendant asks for his appearance for trial on his own recognizance —
"	Ordered
21.	This cause set for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Sept 22. 1888 — Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant —
22.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — and says he is ready for Examination — J. B. White, M.C. Nott, Seth Cannon — Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People — C. E. Packer & Eli Comber & G.E. Calnell Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Defendant — Cause Closed argued and Submitted — The Court took the Same under advisement until 2. O.C. P.M. Sept. 25th 1888 —
25	Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. Sept 28. 1888 —
28.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — The Court having heard the testimony in this action and having fully considered the Same and it appearing to me that the offense of Embezzlement & a time Prior thereto of the value of $700 — on or about the 25th of June 1888. has been Committed and that there is sufficient to believe the above named defendant C. E. Packer guilty thereof. Do Order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma and that he be admitted to Bail in the Sum of Seven Hundred ($700) Dollars And is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma until he give Such bail —
	J. B. Hill. J.P. —
"	Papers handed Supt. Dist. Atty H. H. Nash

No. 5-114.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

A. C. Blanchard — PLAINTIFF

vs.

D. S. Richardson — DEFENDANT

Action Note & Guard

Demand, $50.00/100

Church & Cary — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 4.	Complaint filed Summons Issued & given Constable.
"	Affidavit for attachment Made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment Issued & given Constable.
" 14.	Now Comes the defendant accompanied by Plaintiff's attorney Church & Cary in open Court and admits he is indebted to Plaintiff and agrees that judgment may be entered herein against him for the amount Claimed by Plaintiff to wit: the Sum of Eighty One and 85/100 dollars.
"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the Sum of Eighty one and 85/100 dollars in full of Plaintiff's Claim to wit: The Principal, interest & Costs in United States Gold Coin.
"	Judgment for the Principal and interest — 66.85
	" " " Justices fee — 7.00
	" " " Constable " 4.10
"	Total amount of Judgment — $77.85
	D. H. Tidd J.P.
Oct. 15.	This Cause by order of Plaintiff is hereby dismissed.

No. 505

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Chas. Moore — DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Same day Case set for Sept 9th at 10 A.M.
Sept 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Sept 11th at 10 A.M.
Sept 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Sept 13th at 10 A.M.
Sept 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Sept 16th at 10 A.M.
Sept 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Sept 18th at 10 A.M.
Sept 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Sept 20th at 10 A.M.
Sept 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Sept 23rd at 10 A.M.
Sept 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Sept 25th at 10 A.M.
Sept 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Sept 27th at 10 A.M.
Sept 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Sept 30th at 10 A.M.
Sept 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 2nd at 10 A.M.
Oct 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 4th at 10 A.M.
Oct 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 7th at 10 A.M.
Oct 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 9th at 10 A.M.
Oct 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 11th at 10 A.M.
Oct 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 14th at 10 A.M.
Oct 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 16th at 10 A.M.
Oct 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 18th at 10 A.M.
Oct 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 21st at 10 A.M.
Oct 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 23rd at 10 A.M.
Oct 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 25th at 10 A.M.
Oct 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 28th at 10 A.M.
Oct 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Oct 30th at 10 A.M.
Oct 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 1st at 10 A.M.
Nov 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 4th at 10 A.M.
Nov 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 6th at 10 A.M.
Nov 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 8th at 10 A.M.
Nov 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 11th at 10 A.M.
Nov 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 13th at 10 A.M.
Nov 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 15th at 10 A.M.
Nov 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 18th at 10 A.M.
Nov 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 20th at 10 A.M.
Nov 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 22nd at 10 A.M.
Nov 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 25th at 10 A.M.
Nov 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 27th at 10 A.M.
Nov 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Nov 29th at 10 A.M.
Nov 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 2nd at 10 A.M.
Dec 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 4th at 10 A.M.
Dec 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 6th at 10 A.M.
Dec 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 9th at 10 A.M.
Dec 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 11th at 10 A.M.
Dec 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 13th at 10 A.M.
Dec 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 16th at 10 A.M.
Dec 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 18th at 10 A.M.
Dec 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 20th at 10 A.M.
Dec 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 23rd at 10 A.M.
Dec 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 25th at 10 A.M.
Dec 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 27th at 10 A.M.
Dec 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Dec 30th at 10 A.M.
Dec 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 1st at 10 A.M.
1879 Jan 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 3rd at 10 A.M.
Jan 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 6th at 10 A.M.
Jan 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 8th at 10 A.M.
Jan 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 10th at 10 A.M.
Jan 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 13th at 10 A.M.
Jan 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 15th at 10 A.M.
Jan 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 17th at 10 A.M.
Jan 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 20th at 10 A.M.
Jan 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 22nd at 10 A.M.
Jan 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 24th at 10 A.M.
Jan 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 27th at 10 A.M.
Jan 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 29th at 10 A.M.
Jan 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Jan 31st at 10 A.M.
Jan 31.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 3rd at 10 A.M.
Feb 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 5th at 10 A.M.
Feb 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 7th at 10 A.M.
Feb 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 10th at 10 A.M.
Feb 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 12th at 10 A.M.
Feb 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 14th at 10 A.M.
Feb 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 17th at 10 A.M.
Feb 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 19th at 10 A.M.
Feb 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 21st at 10 A.M.
Feb 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 24th at 10 A.M.
Feb 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 26th at 10 A.M.
Feb 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to Feb 28th at 10 A.M.
Feb 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 3rd at 10 A.M.
March 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 5th at 10 A.M.
March 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 7th at 10 A.M.
March 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 10th at 10 A.M.
March 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 12th at 10 A.M.
March 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 14th at 10 A.M.
March 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 17th at 10 A.M.
March 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 19th at 10 A.M.
March 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 21st at 10 A.M.
March 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 24th at 10 A.M.
March 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 26th at 10 A.M.
March 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 28th at 10 A.M.
March 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 31st at 10 A.M.
March 31.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 2nd at 10 A.M.
April 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 4th at 10 A.M.
April 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 7th at 10 A.M.
April 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 9th at 10 A.M.
April 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 11th at 10 A.M.
April 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 14th at 10 A.M.
April 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 16th at 10 A.M.
April 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 18th at 10 A.M.
April 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 21st at 10 A.M.
April 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 23rd at 10 A.M.
April 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 25th at 10 A.M.
April 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 28th at 10 A.M.
April 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 30th at 10 A.M.
April 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 2nd at 10 A.M.
May 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 5th at 10 A.M.
May 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 7th at 10 A.M.
May 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 9th at 10 A.M.
May 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 12th at 10 A.M.
May 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 14th at 10 A.M.
May 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 16th at 10 A.M.
May 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 19th at 10 A.M.
May 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 21st at 10 A.M.
May 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 23rd at 10 A.M.
May 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 26th at 10 A.M.
May 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 28th at 10 A.M.
May 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 30th at 10 A.M.
May 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 2nd at 10 A.M.
June 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 4th at 10 A.M.
June 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 6th at 10 A.M.
June 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 9th at 10 A.M.
June 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 11th at 10 A.M.
June 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 13th at 10 A.M.
June 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 16th at 10 A.M.
June 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 18th at 10 A.M.
June 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 20th at 10 A.M.
June 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 23rd at 10 A.M.
June 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 25th at 10 A.M.
June 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 27th at 10 A.M.
June 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 30th at 10 A.M.
June 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 2nd at 10 A.M.
July 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 4th at 10 A.M.
July 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 7th at 10 A.M.
July 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 9th at 10 A.M.
July 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 11th at 10 A.M.
July 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 14th at 10 A.M.
July 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 16th at 10 A.M.
July 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 18th at 10 A.M.
July 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 21st at 10 A.M.
July 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 23rd at 10 A.M.
July 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 25th at 10 A.M.
July 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 28th at 10 A.M.
July 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 30th at 10 A.M.
July 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 1st at 10 A.M.
August 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 4th at 10 A.M.
August 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 6th at 10 A.M.
August 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 8th at 10 A.M.
August 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 11th at 10 A.M.
August 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 13th at 10 A.M.
August 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 15th at 10 A.M.
August 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 18th at 10 A.M.
August 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 20th at 10 A.M.
August 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 22nd at 10 A.M.
August 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 25th at 10 A.M.
August 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 27th at 10 A.M.
August 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 29th at 10 A.M.
August 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 1st at 10 A.M.
September 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 3rd at 10 A.M.
September 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 5th at 10 A.M.
September 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 8th at 10 A.M.
September 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 10th at 10 A.M.
September 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 12th at 10 A.M.
September 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 15th at 10 A.M.
September 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 17th at 10 A.M.
September 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 19th at 10 A.M.
September 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 22nd at 10 A.M.
September 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 24th at 10 A.M.
September 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 26th at 10 A.M.
September 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 29th at 10 A.M.
September 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 1st at 10 A.M.
October 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 3rd at 10 A.M.
October 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 6th at 10 A.M.
October 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 8th at 10 A.M.
October 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 10th at 10 A.M.
October 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 13th at 10 A.M.
October 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 15th at 10 A.M.
October 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 17th at 10 A.M.
October 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 20th at 10 A.M.
October 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 22nd at 10 A.M.
October 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 24th at 10 A.M.
October 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 27th at 10 A.M.
October 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 29th at 10 A.M.
October 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 31st at 10 A.M.
October 31.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 3rd at 10 A.M.
November 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 5th at 10 A.M.
November 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 7th at 10 A.M.
November 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 10th at 10 A.M.
November 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 12th at 10 A.M.
November 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 14th at 10 A.M.
November 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 17th at 10 A.M.
November 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 19th at 10 A.M.
November 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 21st at 10 A.M.
November 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 24th at 10 A.M.
November 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 26th at 10 A.M.
November 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 28th at 10 A.M.
November 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 1st at 10 A.M.
December 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 3rd at 10 A.M.
December 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 5th at 10 A.M.
December 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 8th at 10 A.M.
December 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 10th at 10 A.M.
December 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 12th at 10 A.M.
December 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 15th at 10 A.M.
December 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 17th at 10 A.M.
December 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 19th at 10 A.M.
December 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 22nd at 10 A.M.
December 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 24th at 10 A.M.
December 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 26th at 10 A.M.
December 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 29th at 10 A.M.
December 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 31st at 10 A.M.
December 31.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 2nd at 10 A.M.
1880 January 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 4th at 10 A.M.
January 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 6th at 10 A.M.
January 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 9th at 10 A.M.
January 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 11th at 10 A.M.
January 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 13th at 10 A.M.
January 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 16th at 10 A.M.
January 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 18th at 10 A.M.
January 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 20th at 10 A.M.
January 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 23rd at 10 A.M.
January 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 25th at 10 A.M.
January 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 27th at 10 A.M.
January 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 30th at 10 A.M.
January 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 1st at 10 A.M.
February 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 3rd at 10 A.M.
February 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 6th at 10 A.M.
February 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 8th at 10 A.M.
February 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 10th at 10 A.M.
February 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 13th at 10 A.M.
February 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 15th at 10 A.M.
February 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 17th at 10 A.M.
February 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 20th at 10 A.M.
February 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 22nd at 10 A.M.
February 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 24th at 10 A.M.
February 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 27th at 10 A.M.
February 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 29th at 10 A.M.
February 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 2nd at 10 A.M.
March 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 4th at 10 A.M.
March 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 6th at 10 A.M.
March 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 9th at 10 A.M.
March 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 11th at 10 A.M.
March 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 13th at 10 A.M.
March 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 16th at 10 A.M.
March 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 18th at 10 A.M.
March 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 20th at 10 A.M.
March 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 23rd at 10 A.M.
March 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 25th at 10 A.M.
March 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 27th at 10 A.M.
March 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 30th at 10 A.M.
March 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 1st at 10 A.M.
April 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 3rd at 10 A.M.
April 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 6th at 10 A.M.
April 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 8th at 10 A.M.
April 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 10th at 10 A.M.
April 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 13th at 10 A.M.
April 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 15th at 10 A.M.
April 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 17th at 10 A.M.
April 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 20th at 10 A.M.
April 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 22nd at 10 A.M.
April 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 24th at 10 A.M.
April 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 27th at 10 A.M.
April 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to April 29th at 10 A.M.
April 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 1st at 10 A.M.
May 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 4th at 10 A.M.
May 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 6th at 10 A.M.
May 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 8th at 10 A.M.
May 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 11th at 10 A.M.
May 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 13th at 10 A.M.
May 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 15th at 10 A.M.
May 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 18th at 10 A.M.
May 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 20th at 10 A.M.
May 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 22nd at 10 A.M.
May 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 25th at 10 A.M.
May 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 27th at 10 A.M.
May 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to May 29th at 10 A.M.
May 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 1st at 10 A.M.
June 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 3rd at 10 A.M.
June 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 5th at 10 A.M.
June 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 8th at 10 A.M.
June 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 10th at 10 A.M.
June 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 12th at 10 A.M.
June 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 15th at 10 A.M.
June 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 17th at 10 A.M.
June 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 19th at 10 A.M.
June 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 22nd at 10 A.M.
June 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 24th at 10 A.M.
June 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 26th at 10 A.M.
June 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to June 29th at 10 A.M.
June 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 1st at 10 A.M.
July 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 3rd at 10 A.M.
July 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 6th at 10 A.M.
July 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 8th at 10 A.M.
July 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 10th at 10 A.M.
July 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 13th at 10 A.M.
July 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 15th at 10 A.M.
July 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 17th at 10 A.M.
July 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 20th at 10 A.M.
July 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 22nd at 10 A.M.
July 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 24th at 10 A.M.
July 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 27th at 10 A.M.
July 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 29th at 10 A.M.
July 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to July 31st at 10 A.M.
July 31.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 3rd at 10 A.M.
August 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 5th at 10 A.M.
August 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 7th at 10 A.M.
August 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 10th at 10 A.M.
August 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 12th at 10 A.M.
August 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 14th at 10 A.M.
August 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 17th at 10 A.M.
August 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 19th at 10 A.M.
August 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 21st at 10 A.M.
August 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 24th at 10 A.M.
August 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 26th at 10 A.M.
August 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 28th at 10 A.M.
August 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to August 31st at 10 A.M.
August 31.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 2nd at 10 A.M.
September 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 4th at 10 A.M.
September 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 7th at 10 A.M.
September 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 9th at 10 A.M.
September 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 11th at 10 A.M.
September 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 14th at 10 A.M.
September 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 16th at 10 A.M.
September 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 18th at 10 A.M.
September 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 21st at 10 A.M.
September 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 23rd at 10 A.M.
September 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 25th at 10 A.M.
September 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 28th at 10 A.M.
September 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to September 30th at 10 A.M.
September 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 2nd at 10 A.M.
October 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 5th at 10 A.M.
October 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 7th at 10 A.M.
October 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 9th at 10 A.M.
October 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 12th at 10 A.M.
October 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 14th at 10 A.M.
October 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 16th at 10 A.M.
October 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 19th at 10 A.M.
October 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 21st at 10 A.M.
October 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 23rd at 10 A.M.
October 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 26th at 10 A.M.
October 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 28th at 10 A.M.
October 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to October 30th at 10 A.M.
October 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 2nd at 10 A.M.
November 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 4th at 10 A.M.
November 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 6th at 10 A.M.
November 6.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 9th at 10 A.M.
November 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 11th at 10 A.M.
November 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 13th at 10 A.M.
November 13.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 16th at 10 A.M.
November 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 18th at 10 A.M.
November 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 20th at 10 A.M.
November 20.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 23rd at 10 A.M.
November 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 25th at 10 A.M.
November 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 27th at 10 A.M.
November 27.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to November 30th at 10 A.M.
November 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 2nd at 10 A.M.
December 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 4th at 10 A.M.
December 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 7th at 10 A.M.
December 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 9th at 10 A.M.
December 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 11th at 10 A.M.
December 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 14th at 10 A.M.
December 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 16th at 10 A.M.
December 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 18th at 10 A.M.
December 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 21st at 10 A.M.
December 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 23rd at 10 A.M.
December 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 25th at 10 A.M.
December 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 28th at 10 A.M.
December 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to December 30th at 10 A.M.
December 30.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 1st at 10 A.M.
1881 January 1.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 3rd at 10 A.M.
January 3.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 5th at 10 A.M.
January 5.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 8th at 10 A.M.
January 8.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 10th at 10 A.M.
January 10.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 12th at 10 A.M.
January 12.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 15th at 10 A.M.
January 15.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 17th at 10 A.M.
January 17.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 19th at 10 A.M.
January 19.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 22nd at 10 A.M.
January 22.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 24th at 10 A.M.
January 24.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 26th at 10 A.M.
January 26.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 29th at 10 A.M.
January 29.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to January 31st at 10 A.M.
January 31.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 2nd at 10 A.M.
February 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 4th at 10 A.M.
February 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 7th at 10 A.M.
February 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 9th at 10 A.M.
February 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 11th at 10 A.M.
February 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 14th at 10 A.M.
February 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 16th at 10 A.M.
February 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 18th at 10 A.M.
February 18.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 21st at 10 A.M.
February 21.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 23rd at 10 A.M.
February 23.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 25th at 10 A.M.
February 25.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to February 28th at 10 A.M.
February 28.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 2nd at 10 A.M.
March 2.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 4th at 10 A.M.
March 4.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 7th at 10 A.M.
March 7.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 9th at 10 A.M.
March 9.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 11th at 10 A.M.
March 11.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 14th at 10 A.M.
March 14.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 16th at 10 A.M.
March 16.	Case called Defendant appears with Counsel Case continued to March 18th at 10

No. 516.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

E. P. Rueddow

DEFENDANT

Action Embezzlement

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 26th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Constable Butte
28	This cause dismissed on motion of prosecution
	D. H. Hills J.P.

No. 507.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

W. L. Owen

DEFENDANT

Action High Misdemeanor

Demand, $

H. H. McBeth
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Bail fixed —
" 10.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant held in a bond of $300 — for his appearance for trial — or Examination with Bond approved and filed —
Sept. "	20. Now Comes the District Attorney H. H. McBeth and moves the Court to dismiss this action — upon the grounds that the defendant W. L. Owen has fully satisfied all costs in this action — The Court granted said Motion & discharged defendant on payment of Costs $13.60 —

D. B. Hall J. P.

No. 508

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jas. Johnson Sweazy.
DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & to Const Barton
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
pro tem	and of his rights in the premises Defendant called to
	answer for trial in the sum of $300 Bail offered & filed
" 11.	Defendant in Court and pleaded guilty as charged
"	and waives the legal time for sentence
"	Defendant fined and paid $10.00 & his charges

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 509.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Mary Austin

DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1887 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 10.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Constable Watters.
" 11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendant fined and Paid $5.00 — and discharged —
	D. B. Hill. J.P.

No. 514.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Johnson — Wm. Healy. "Yegie

DEFENDANT

Action Petit Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 11.	Compl and filed Warrant issued Sheriff Return
" 11.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded Not guilty as charged Cause continued and the Defendants discharged upon the grounds that the testimony is not sufficient to Commit
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-11.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Yuma
State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe — Wm Healy. "Negro" — DEFENDANT

Action Petit Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Const Walker
" 11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded Not Guilty as Charged. Cause set & continued and the defendant discharged upon the ground that the testimony is not sufficient to convict.
	D. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 5-12

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Joe Newmanlee
DEFENDANT

Action to sustaining the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 10th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable

No. 5-13.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF.

vs.

H. L. Owen

DEFENDANT

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Sheriff Bartlett
11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant asks for examination on his own recognizance
Sept. 11.	Defendant discharged

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 514

In the Justice's Court of Jane Township,

County of

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

G. G. Green & Chas Hall
DEFENDANTS

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand, $

H. H. Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Brenan
Attorney for Defendant

1887 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Court Walker.
Sept 13.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendants held for examination in the sum of $250 each & bonds approved & filed.
Oct 1.	This Cause set for Examination Oct. 15th 1888. at 2 O'C. P. M.
15.	2. O'C. P. M. Defendants in Court. Cause Continued until 2. O'C. P. M. Oct 27th 1888. by Consent of parties.
"	Issued Subpoena for the People & Cause Court Walker.
27.	2. O'C. P. M. Defendants in Court. and say they are ready for Examination. Witnesses sworn and Examined for and on Cause. Closed and Submitted. The Court after hearing the evidence and having fully considered the Same orders that the defendants be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Connect.
	D. H. Hills J. P.

No. 5-15-

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

J. G. Long — PLAINTIFF

vs.

A. Silwood — DEFENDANT

Action Account-

Demand, $45.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1874 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment & bond filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff

No. 514

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California, PLAINTIFF
vs.
Fred Phillips, DEFENDANT

Action: Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	11.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Walk[illegible].
	12.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence - Defendant fined and paid $10.00 - Discharged -
[illegible]		[illegible] J.P.

No. 577.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The Page-Hoard Lumber Company PLAINTIFF

vs.

W. R. Heady

DEFENDANT

Action Note & Account

Demand, $184.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 12th	Complaint filed.

No. 518.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF *vs.* John Rockland, John Stone. Wm. Callister, Carl. Callister & Jack. Love DEFENDANTS	Action Vagrancy Demand, $ Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & [illegible] arrest — W. [illegible].
	"	Defendants brought in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence — Defendants allowed to at once leave the town —
		J. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 5-19.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

W. H. Harris — PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. G. Bailey — DEFENDANT

Action Note & Account

Demand, $50.00 Costs $15.00 Atty fee $30.00

P. Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker.
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walker.
" 17.	Summons returned Hearing Journal Entries on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 13th day of Dec. 1888 and filed —
"	Writ of attachment Execution returned and filed —

No. 520.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Rock & John Doe
DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1877 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. H. M. Bell —
14,	Defendants in Court — and informed of the charge against them — each of them rejects in the premises & defendants pleaded guilty, and waived the legal time for sentence — Defendants sentenced to jail for period of ten days each of them —
	B. F. Hicks. J. P.

No. 5-21.

In the Justice's Court of C. Township,

County of Tuna

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

C. O. Hern & Sam. Rogers — DEFENDANTS

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Walker
Sept. 14.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants pleaded not guilty to Charge Defendants Examined and acquitted

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 522.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

William Copland, "Negro" DEFENDANT

Action Petit Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 15	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Watkins -
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Sept. 16	and of his rights - in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty -
	and waived the legal time for sentence -
"	Defendant sentenced to jail for period of 10 days
	B. F. Hall J.P.

No. 523

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

James Mc Lacy

DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded not guilty Defendant Examined and discharged
"	D. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 524.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

M. S. Wilson
PLAINTIFF
vs.
O. J. Meade
DEFENDANT

Action Account for money lead and received

Demand, $171.65/100

R. P. Sanders
Attorney for Plaintiff

Nolan & Geer
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walton.
"	20.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of Sept. 1888. and is filed —
	21st	This Cause set for trial at 1½ O.C. P.M. Sept 28th 1888 —
	"	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein —
	28.	Cause Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Oct 8. 1888 —
Oct.	8.	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and saying they are ready for trial. M. S. Wilson sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf. Exhibit A & B. filed in evidence. E. Kemper Wm. Hellen. Jas. Simpson. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. after which the Prosecution Closed. Court took a recess until 1. O.C. P.M. this day.
	"	1 O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed. E. Kemper recalled by the defense. Wilson recalled by the Prosecution. Cause Closed argued & Submitted. The Court took the same under advisement. Counsel privileged to file briefs.
"	11.	The Court having heard the testimony in this action pro and con. and having fully considered the same.
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant a judgment for the sum of $171.65/100 the amount sued for and the sum of $15.10 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States.
	"	Judgment for the amount sued for — 171.65
		" " Justice fees — 6.50
	"	" " Constable " 2.00
		Witness E. Kemper — one day — 2.00
		" Wm. Hellen " " 2.00
		" Jas. Simpson — " " 2.00
	"	Total amount of judgment $186.15

J. H. Hill J.P.

Defendant files his notice on appeal Oct 11th 1888 —
" " undertaking " 15th "

No. 5-25-

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. C. Bradford — DEFENDANT

Action assault with a deadly weapon

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. H. M. Bill —
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant held for his appearance in the sum of $500 — further Bond approved & filed
	19.	This Cause set for Examination at 10 O.C.A.M. Sept. 22d 1888 Subpoenas issued for the People & Defendant —
	22.	This Cause by Consent continued until 2 O.C.P.M. Sept. 24th 1888 —
	24.	Defendant in Court — Cause continued by Consent to Oct. 8th 1888. 9 O.C.A.M.
Oct.	8.	9 O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause continued until 9 O.C.A.M. Oct. 9th 1888 —
"	9.	9 O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court — and says he is ready for trial G. G. Gardner H. M. Bill J. C. Hart A. A. Cummings J. H. Meeks Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People after which the People Close — Defendant waives the Examination of any witness in his own behalf — Cause Closed and Submitted — The Court takes the Same under advisement —
	14.	1 O.C.P.M. The Defendant in Court. The Court after hearing heard the testimony in this action and being fully Convinced the Same orders that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged — upon the grounds that the Defendant is by all rights and purposes disqualified to death person of one other an intentional injury —

E. B. Hall J.P.

No. 5-24.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John D. Fiske

DEFENDANT

Action A assault with a deadly weapon

Demand, $

H. H. Holsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. M. Deakin
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & goes Court — H. M. Bell
Sept "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant asked for his appearance for examination in the sum of $500. Bond approved and filed —
" 19.	This cause set for Examination at 2 O.C.P.M. Sept 24th 1888 Issued Subp for People and defendant.
" 24.	2 O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court Cause continued until 9 O.C. A.M. Oct 8th 1888 — By consent —
Oct. 8.	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause continued until 9 O.C. A.M. Oct 9th 1888 —
" 9.	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — E. H. Bedford — Geo. Burpee, E. G. Chuck — J. A. Shinn — J. D. Chuck — H. H. Bell. Sworn and examined as witness for the People after which the Peremptory Challenge Cause Challenged argued and submitted — The Court took the matter under advisement.
" 10.	10 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — The Court after hearing heard the testimony in this action and hearing fully considered the same — Orders that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Commit the defendant for the Crime charged —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 627

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

J. Scott — PLAINTIFF

vs.

E. H. Walton — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $ 129.00/100

E. D. Edmonds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 17.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney —
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff attorney —
19.	This Cause dismissed by order of the Plff. J. P. Cameron —
	D. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 6-28.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Hume

DEFENDANT

Action for Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1858. Sept. 18.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. N. M. Bass

No. 529.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

William Nubey PLAINTIFF	Action Claim & delivery $3.–
vs.	Demand, $3.00
J. Paparian DEFENDANT	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	18	Complaint in Claim and delivery filed – Summons issued & given Constable Walton – affidavit and undertaking – made and given Const Walton –
"	24.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the 18th day of Sept 1888 and filed – Undertaking and affidavit returned and filed –
Oct.	4.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file here – and the time allowed by law for answering having expired – the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law –
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law –
"		Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff – he being duly sworn deposes and says that he has received from the replevying officer viz. Constable C. P. Walton the personal property replevied by said officer – and that he has been damaged in the sum of One dollar by reason of the detention of said property as alleged in his complaint on file here – and for his Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action –
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant a judgment for the sum of One dollar damages for the detention of said property – and the sum of $13.50 Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action – in lawful money of the U. S. –
"		Judgment for $1.00 J.P. fees $8.50 – Const. fees $5.00 – Total – $14.50
		J. H. Hill J.P. –

No. 530

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

Pickle & Dale PLAINTIFFS	Action Account
vs.	Demand, $206.31/100
Charly Mueller DEFENDANT	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	18	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walter
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment
		approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walter
"		Summons returned showing personal service with defendant
		together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the
		18th day of Sept. 1888 and filed
"		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
1889. Jan	2.	The cost in this action was $14.79/100 Paid by Plaintiff

No. 5-31.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo.

State of California.

W. C. Harris — PLAINTIFF

vs.

W. F. Rose — DEFENDANT

Action 2. Note & Account

Demand, $147.00/100 —

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	18.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const — Bartlett —
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const Bartlett —
"	24	This Cause Credited by the Sum of $150 —

No. 532.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Frank E. Siegelbaum
DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Sheriff's hands. J. E. Rockfield
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendant permitted to stand committed to jail —
	S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 533

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Nuno.

State of California.

R Kelly — PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. M. Crawford — DEFENDANT

Action Account —

Demand, $236.25/100

W. H. Grady —
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 19.	Compl'nt filed Summons issued & given Plff- attorney.
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plff's attorney —
"	Summons returned Showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 19th day of Sept- 1888 and filed —
"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
21.	Justice and Constable Cost- taxed up to date- $12.00 —
25.	For this action the defendant- having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint- on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default- of the said defendant in the premises is duly duly entered according to law —
"	For this action the defendant- having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint- herein- and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant- in the premises having been duly entered according to law
"	Now Comes this day, and on application of Plaintiff's attorney It is ordered that Judgment- be entered herein against the said defendant- in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint- on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant- the sum of $236.25/100 amount- ed to- and the sum of $14.50 — Costs incurred and incurred in the prosecution of this action in favor of the Pl. —
"	Judgment- for the amount due to- 236.25
"	" " Justices fee — 9.00
"	" " Constables " 5.50
"	Total amount- of Judgment — $250.75
"	Issued Execution —

S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 534

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California, PLAINTIFF

vs.

James K. Adams alias James Knight, DEFENDANT

Action: Forgery

Demand, $

H. H. Welsh, Attorney for Plaintiff

Wilcox, Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walter.
"	22.	Defendant James Knight in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno to await his examination —
Nov.	5.	This cause set for trial at 1 O.C. P.M. Nov 5th 1888 —
"		Issued Subpoena for the People & gave Const.
"	5.	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his Examination — S. G. Edwards appointed Short-hand reporter for this Cause — Parties ready to proceed. Lucky Clark sworn and examined as a witness for the People. Check filed in evidence as exhibit A. People Closed — Defendant waived the introduction of any testimony — Cause Closed and by Consent to be taken under advisement —
"	B.	The Court after having heard the testimony in this matter and having fully considered the same and it appearing to me that the offense mentioned in the Complaint on file here has been committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the defendant James Knight guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and is admitted to bail in the sum of One Thousand dollars and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-35-

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

S Jacques
DEFENDANT

Action Transcript

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 20	Reptl Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Bartlett

No. 536—

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma—

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John R. Griffith
DEFENDANT

Action Ejectment—

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept- 20 pltf.	Complaint- filed Warrant- issued on the affidavit of D. Griffith returnable before the Judge of the Precinct Court- and gave Court- Walker-

D. B. Hill J. P.

No. 537

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
John Doe alias S. M. Derapian DEFENDANT

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	20.	Compl[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] & [illegible] Court - W. H. Harris
"		Defen[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] informed of the Charge against him
		and of [illegible] [illegible] - Defendant held for his
		appea[illegible] [illegible] own recognizance

No 534

Justice Court
B. Township
County of Inyo

The People of California
vs
John R. Griffith

Filed Sept 21st 1888
S. H. Hill
J. P.

No. 536—

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma—

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John R. Griffith
DEFENDANT

Action Ejectment—

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept- 20 pltf.	Complaint- filed Warrant- issued on the affidavit of D. Griffith returnable before the Judge of the Precinct Ann-. and gave Court- Walker-

D. B. Hill J. P.

No. 5-37.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

State of California }
County of Fresno } ss.

S. Griffith being first duly sworn deposes and says. That one John R. Griffith, is so far disordered in his mind as to endanger health and to be unsafe to be at large. That his condition is such that he has within last five days attempted to commit suicide and has attempted to take the life of another. That he is in opinions opinion wholly without the guidance of reason.

S. Griffith.

Subscribed and
sworn to before me this
Sept 21st 1888
E. H. Hill
Justice of the Peace

No. 536—

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba—

State of California.

for Plaintiff

or Defendant

No 5-34

Justice Court

B. Township

County of Yuba

The People of California

vs

Robert M. Griffith

Trial Sept 21st 1858

A.M. P.M.

J.P.

No. 5-37

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe — alias
S. M. Dorobian
DEFENDANT

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. W. H. Harris
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant asks for his appearance for trial on his own recognizance
Sept. 20.	

No. 536—

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba—

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John R. Griffith

DEFENDANT

Action Ejectment—

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1858 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept- 2d	Complaint filed [illegible] the affidavit-
pd.	of D. Griffith rec[illegible] dge of the
	Justices Court- Walk-
	D. B. Hill J. P.

No. 5-37

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe — alias
S. M. Dropian
DEFENDANT

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. W. H. Harris
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Sept. 21.	and of his rights in the premises — Defendant bailed for his appearance for trial on his own recognizance

No. 538.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Johnson — alias
S. M. Scropian
DEFENDANT

Action Assault —

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court — H. B. Harris Defendant — in Court — and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights — viz the premises — Defendant pleads Not guilty Defendant held on his own Recognizance for trial —
Rec'd	

No. 5-39.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Account—

Peckham Bros— PLAINTIFF

vs.

A. H. Staufath DEFENDANT

Demand, $ 32.35/100—

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 21.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker.
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walker—
22.	This cause tried in full. and dismissed—
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-46

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Louis Carroll
DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 21	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Const. Walker.
Sept. 22	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises & Defendant pleads not guilty. This Cause set for trial Oct. 6th 1888 at 10 o'c. A. M. Defendant bailed for his appearance in the Sum of fifty dollars — Bond returned & filed

No. 541

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

James Hogan DEFENDANT

Action Petit Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 21st	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant asked if answer in the sum of $50 for his appearance for trial Bond approved and filed
Sept 22.	This Cause Set for trial at 4. O.C. P.M. Oct 2d 1888
"	This Cause Continued indefinitely on the defendants own recognizance for trial Bondsmen released

No. 542.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of [illegible]

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Albert Price. Geo. Lawson. W. Evans.
H. B. Blair. Geo. Calem & D. M. Pulman
DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy —

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 22	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
"	Defendants in Court — and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence —
	Defendant permitted to leave on — at once
	D. B. Hill J. P.

No. 543.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

William Crowe

DEFENDANT

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 22.	Complaint filed & warrant issued this Court — Hearing
Sept. 25.	Defendant in Court — and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises defendant pleaded Not guilty Defendant held for his appearance in bond of $125.— & deposited the sum of $125.— for and instead of bond —
"	This cause set for trial at 2. O.C.S.W. Oct. 3. 1888 —
Oct. 3.	2. O.C.S.M. Defendant in Court — Jurors returned and filed Parties ready for trial — Twelve Jurors sworn to try the Cause — Witnesses sworn and examined for and Court Cause closed and submitted without argument to the jury for their deliberation and verdict — The Jurors after returned in to Court with a verdict of acquittal — Defendant and Jury discharged —

S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 544.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

A. Edwinger, N. Rodman and H. Horn DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Bond fixed at $100
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence —
"	Sentence that the Defendants be confined in the County Jail of said County for the period of five days each of them —

E. H. Hall J.P.

No. 545

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

Joe Enos Silva PLAINTIFF
vs.
Joseph Tonke DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $72.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	24.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Walters
"		affidavit filed for attachment. Plaintiff deposited $50.00 for and instead of undertaking. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walters
		This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 546.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Frank Braga

DEFENDANT

Action Bastardy Warrant

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walker
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge gainst him and of his rights in the premises defendant Pleaded guilty and waived the Legal time for Sentence
"	Defendant fined and Paid $10.00 & his Charges

B. H. Hall J.P.

No. 547.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Frank Beals — PLAINTIFF

vs.

W. Carlson & Wm Carlson Co-partn DEFENDANTS

Action Account —

Demand, $24.00 —

Attorney for Plaintiff
Church & Cary

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	26.	Complaint filed Summons issued & Writ Court Seal.
	27.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 26th day of Sept. 1888. and filed —
Oct.	1.	Now comes the defendant by their attorneys Church & Cary and for demurrer alleges that said Complaint does not State facts sufficient to Constitute a Cause of Action —
	2.	Demurrer set for argument at 9. o'c. A. M. Oct. 3. 1888 —
	3.	9. o'c. A. M. Demurrer Submitted and overruled —
	"	Now Comes the defendants by their attorneys Church & Cary and for answer denies each and every Material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint Contained —
	4.	This Cause set for trial at 10. o'c. A. M. Oct. 11th 1888 —
	"	This Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff. J. P. fees Paid —

D. A. Hill. J. P.

No. 5-48

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Manuel Demaris — PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. Condon & Wm Condon his wife

DEFENDANTS

Action Account —

Demand, $21.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff
Church & Coy

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 26	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Waller.
" 27	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 26th day of Sept. 1888 and filed —
Oct. 1st	Now comes the defendant by his attorney Church & Coy. and for demurrer alleges that said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to Constitute a Cause of Action —
" 2.	Cause set for argument at 9. O.C.A. Thu Oct 3. 1888
" 3.	9. O.C.A. M. Cause Submitted and overruled —
"	Now comes the defendants by their attorneys Church & Coy and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint Contained —
"	This Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff. J.P. for Said —
	S.H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-49.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Yuba
State of California.

Joe. Prahar PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. Cundem & Wm Cundem his wife DEFENDANTS

Action Account

Demand, $24.00

Attorney for Plaintiff
Church & Cary

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 26	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable
27.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 26th day of Sept 1888 — same filed —
Oct 1.	Now comes the defendant by their attorneys Church & Cary and for demurrer alleges that said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action —
2.	Demurrer set for argument at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct 3rd 1888
3.	9 O.C. A.M. Demurrer submitted and overruled —
"	Now comes the defendants by their attorneys Church & Cary and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint contained —
"	This cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff J.P. for want —

D.S. Hill J.P.

230

No. 5-52

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo.

State of California.

Manuel Pastra — PLAINTIFF

vs.

L. Condon & Mrs. Condon his wife — DEFENDANTS

Action Account —

Demand, $15.00 —

Attorney for Plaintiff

Church & Cay

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 26	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable.
27.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint — on file herein the 26th day of Sept — 1888 — and filed —
Oct — 1	Now comes the defendant by their attorneys Church & Cay and for answer allege that said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
2.	Cause set for argument at 9. O'C. A. M. Oct. 3 1888 —
3	9. O'C. A. M. Cause submitted and argued —
"	Now comes the defendant by their attorneys Church & Cay and for answer denies each and every allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint —
"	This cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff — J.T. for Court —

S. H. Hills. J.P.

No. 5-5-1.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

Frank Darman — PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. Candau & Mrs Candau his wife

DEFENDANT S

Action Account —

Demand, $18.00

Attorney for Plaintiff
Church & Coy
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	24. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court. Waiver —
	27. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein on the 26th day of Sept — 1888 — and filed —
Oct	1. Now comes the defendant by their attorney Church & Coy and for demurrer alleges that said Complaint - does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action —
	2. Demurrer set for argument at 9. o'c. a.m. Oct. 3. 1888 —
	3. 9. o'c. A.M. Demurrer submitted and argued —
	" Now comes the defendants by their attorneys Church & Coy and for answer denys each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint - Contained —
	" This cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff. J.P. for Costs —
	J.H. Hall J.P.

No. 5-5-2.

In the Justice's Court of B Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Jacobs - H Piera - E. Martin
Emil Schell. John Hoffman. Jas Murray &
Frank. Marshall
DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept:	25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. W. H. Harris -
"	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence - Defendants allowed until 2 O'C. P.M. to go to work or to leave the town - left -
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-5-3.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Ge. Mullen - Henry Mullican
Thomas McDonald & J. Rankin
DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1883 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 26th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. R. M. Bell
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for trial —
"	Defendants allowed to at once bail the same —

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 5-5-4.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Thos. Silc. Wm. Lean, Eugene Smith, E. S. Smith, G. W. Worrell, Isaac Stubs, Wm. Gray and Phil Stanley —
DEFENDANTS

Action Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept- 26	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants pleaded guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendants allowed to go on their own recognizance —

J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 5-5-5-

In the Justice's Court of E Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Elmer Potter
DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Watkins.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Sept. "	and of his Rights against him and his rights in the premises
	Defendant pleads not guilty as charged
	Defendant Sworn & examined. Pro & Con Defendant
	discharged evidence insufficient to convict
	D. A. Hill. J.P.

No. 5-5-6.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Alfred Gillmore
DEFENDANT

Action Arson—

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court & informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant committed to the Sheriff of this County. Bail fixed at $1000.
Oct.	24.	Defendant files his Bond Bail accepted.
	25.	This Cause Set for trial Oct 26th 1888. at 2. Oc. P. M. Issued Subpoenas for Witnesses. Constable.
"	26.	2. Oc. P. M. Defendant in Court and Says he is ready for Examination. On Motion of the District Attorney this Cause is dismissed upon the grounds that the Evidence is insufficient to Commit. Defendant discharged.

B. H. Hill

No. 557.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,
County of Yuma
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Nuisance
vs.	Demand, $
Martin - Begorrait DEFENDANT	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept -	26,	Complaint filed Warrant - Issued & given Const - Walton.
	27.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Case examined and dismissed
Refd		

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-5-8.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

C. A. Owen — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Donahue — DEFENDANT

Action Account—

Demand, $125.50/100

H. D. Shanks
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Wallis.
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const Wallis—
Oct. 1st	This action Continued by the Court to the Court of Plaintiff—

No. 5-5-9

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Harry Smith — DEFENDANT

Action Arson

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1887 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const Walker.
Return	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo in default of a Bond in the sum of $1000 —
	29.	Defendants Bond approved and filed —
Oct.	24.	2. O.C. P.M. On Motion of the District Attorney the Court is of opinion upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Commit and he ordered by the Court and defendant discharged

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-611.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma-

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Joseph Finigan. Dan Williams John Day & Thomas McDonald — DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy.

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept- 27	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court- Walton-
R V"	" Defendants in Court- and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises- Defendants pleaded guilty- and waived the legal time for Sentence-
"	" Defendants Joseph Finigan. Dan. Williams & John Day- sentenced to Jail five days each of them- and Thomas McDonald sentenced to Jail Thirty days-
	J. P. Hill J.P.

No. 5-61.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Joe Schiello & Peter Hinden
Wm Baly, Jas. McGrath & Wm Cleveland
DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 28	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Bartlett Ret'd Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence — Defendants allowed to clean the hive & go to work — D. H. Hill J. P. —

No. 5-62.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

F. B. Bates

DEFENDANT

Action Transcript

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1886 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Waldron.
Rec	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the Premises — Defendant also Charged upon the grounds that the testimony is insufficient to Commit-

E. B. Hill J.P.

No. 563.

In the Justice's Court of (3) Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

W. L. Williams — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John E. Lewis and Frank L. Lewis — DEFENDANTS

Action Claim and delivery

Demand, $260. Damages, $99.00

W. L. Chaney
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 28 Sept	Complaint on Claim and delivery filed Summons issued - Affidavit and undertaking on Claim and delivery made and directed as the law requires — in such cases made and provided —
"	Summons returned Plaintiff's Journal Demand and the defendants together with a copy of the Complaint — on both issued on the 28th day of Sept. — 1888 — and filed — Affidavit and undertaking returned and filed —
2	Cost in this action paid by J. H. Vickery — $21.50 —
"	Writ Claim delivered by me to Plaintiff —
	B. F. Hill J. P. —

No. 564.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma-

State of California.

John Lottman PLAINTIFF

vs.

A. Mehrwich & Al. Herera DEFENDANTS

Action Account-

Demand, $22.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

H. B. Gray

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept-	28. Complaint-filed Summons issued & Writ Const-Notice-
Oct-	1. Summons returned Showing personal Service on the defendant-together with a Copy of the Complaint-on file herein on the 1st day of Oct-1888. and filed-
"	5. Defendant-files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein-
	8. Demurrer rd-for argument at 4. o'c. P. M. Oct-8. 1888-
	" 4. P. M. Demurrer Submitted and overruled
	Cause rd-for trial at 9. o'c. A. M- Oct-11. 1888.-
	11. This cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff being paid in full-
	D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 5-65-

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

William Ellis

DEFENDANT

Action Assault with a deadly weapon with intent to murder

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Const. Inyo

No. 5-6 6.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe Whelch alias
Charles Welch
DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

D. H. Hill
Attorney for Plaintiff

Counter
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept- 29.	Complaint- filed Warrant- issued & in Court- Walker
Oct- 1.	Defendant- in Court- and informed of the charge against him and of his rights- in the premises- Defendant- pleads not guilty-
"	Cause set- for trial at- 9. O.c. A. M. Oct- 5th. 1888-
	Defendant- held for trial in above of $50.00- Bond failed and defined-
"	Defendant- demands trial by Jury. Writ issued-
	Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant-
" 5.	9. O.c. A. M. Defendant- in Court- Jurors and Subpoena returned and filed- Twelve Jurors drawn to try the Cause Witnesses sworn and examined for and Con- Cause Closed argued and Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict- The Jury after a short deliberation were returned in to Court with a verdict of acquittal- Jury and defendant discharged-

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 567.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe — alias Gus Hoffman — DEFENDANT

Action Petit Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court — Defendant brought into Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty — Cause of commitment — and defendant discharged upon the ground that the testimony does not clearly establish the fact that the defendant intended to steal — effects property or to approach the same —
" 5.	D. B. Hill, J. P.

No. 568

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

James Bradley
DEFENDANT

Action Arraignment

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Defendant in Court & informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence. Defendant remanded to jail ten days.
" 1.	H. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-69

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Ah Hung — DEFENDANT

Action Assault

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court — Walker — Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty — Defendant committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo to await his trial in default of bail in the sum of $150.00 —
" 8	This cause set for Examination Oct. the 12th 1888. at 9 O.C. A.M. —
12.	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and Plaintiff is ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and examined for and on behalf of Plaintiff — Cause submitted — The Court ordered that the defendant be discharged and that the prosecution is malicious and without probable cause — and that the bond entered into by him and he is hereby taxed with the sum of $10.00 the Cost in this action — Costs paid —

J. B. Hill J.P.

No. 5-711.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

A. M. Gilliland & Henry Smith
DEFENDANT

Action Petit Larceny

Demand, $

H. H. Wells
Attorney for Plaintiff

Hiscock & Inman
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	1.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker
"	2.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants held to their appearance for trial in sum of $100 each & them
"	26.	A. M. Gilliland trial set for Nov 1st 1888 at 2. o'c. P.M.
"		Henry Smith trial set for Nov 1st 1888 at 10. o'c. A.M.
"	29.	Issued subpoena for the People
Nov	1st	This cause Continued indefinitely
1889 Apl	20	Case dismissed by order of district Atty and bail exhonerated.

Alvin Wolcott J P

No. 5-71

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Embezzlement

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Effie Hitchcock
DEFENDANT

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued Upon Court Waiver
	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant asked to depart on his own recognizance until 9. o'c. a.m. Oct 3d 1888 —
3d	9. o'c. A.M. this Cause Continued generally —
Nov 15th	This Cause dismissed for want of prosecution —

S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 5-72.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jno Miller

DEFENDANT

Action Dis Conducting the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1874 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 2.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendant fined $5.00 — Paid Defendant discharged

D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 573.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF
vs.
Al. Merrifield, Wm. Lane, Chs. Lane, Thos. Hill & John Young
DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889. DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walter.
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence.
	"	Defendants permitted to at once leave the town.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 574.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF
vs.
Joseph Gough, Chs. Carr & W. H. Barnes —
DEFENDANTS

Action Fraud

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oc.	3	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & given Const. Walter.
	4	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
		and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty —
		and waive the legal time for sentence —
		Defendants fined Six dollars each of them in and
		in default of the payment of Said fine — sent to jail
		Six days — or in the proportion of one days imprisonment
		for every dollar of said fine —
		J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 575

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

William Cooper

DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty and is discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict.
	E. B. Hill J.P.

No. 576.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Vagrancy
vs.	Demand, $
Roland Powell & Ed. McKiernan	Attorney for Plaintiff
DEFENDANT	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	8.	Complainant filed Warrant issued against Court called Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty. Defendants allowed to leave the town at once
Refer		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 5-77

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Charles J. Felix — DEFENDANT

Action Assault with a deadly weapon with intent to Murder

Demand, $

H. H. Volk & Wheeler & Short — Attorneys for Plaintiff

W. D. Grady — Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 4th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo to await his examination in default of bail in the sum of $1000.00
"	Defendant Bond approved and filed for his appearance for Examination
4.	This Cause set for Examination at 9. O.C. A.M. Oct 13th 1888
13.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his examination The Court appointed S.G. Edwards Stenographer for this Cause
	Parties Ready to proceed Witnesses sworn and examined Cause Submitted, The Court after hearing heard the testimony and being fully Advised the Same Then being no sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant guilty of the offence mention in the Complaint on file herein Ordered that he be discharged

S. B. Hill J. P.

No. 5-78

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jacob Label
DEFENDANT

Action Assault with a deadly weapon with intent to murder

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Settled
" 6.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the premises Defendant asks for his Examination in the sum of $500.00 Bail offered and fixed Cause set for Examination Oct 20th 1888. at 2. O'C. P.M.
" 20,	2. O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his examination. E.W. Risk appeared Short Jones reporter for this Cause Witnesses sworn and examined Jones and Cox Cause Closed argued and submitted Court hearing heard the testimony and having fully considered the same Order that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Convict the defendant

E. W. Hill J.P.

No. 5-79.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

John Swinston PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. G. Butler and G. J. Crawford DEFENDANTS

Action Note & Account

Demand, $ 10.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1880. Oct. 4.	Complaint filed Summons issued & sent Const. Walton.

No. 5-84

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Joseph Ambrey DEFENDANT

Action Assault with a deadly weapon with intent to murder

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant held for his appearance for examination in the sum of $1000" Bond approved and filed —
"	
Refd	
"	
1889 Apr 20	Case dismissed by order of District Atty and bail exhonerated.

Oliver Wolcott J P

No. 5-81

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of the State of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. B. Price

DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

H. H. Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 5th.	Complaint filed Warrant issued again Court Walker
8.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleaded guilty and waived the legal time for sentence
Ruled	Defendant fined Twenty five dollars and in default of the payment of said fine it is ordered and adjudged that you J.B. Price be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff confined in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine
" 18.	D. H. Hill J.P.
"	Defendant in Court and asks to stay the remaining days of his fine Granted 15 days to be allowed by the Court and the defendant his bail
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-82.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Andy Moran, S. B. Crawford, Wm. Barry, Geo. Moran, R. B. Parks, Frank Thomas, San Hobbs, Henry Johnson, Nat Gina — DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1882 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given into Hands of Defendants ex Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights ex the premises — Frank Thomas R. B. Parks and Wm Barry plead not guilty. Examination & discharged Andy Moran & S. B. Crawford (Clerk) gentlemen — men on their way to the Bodie boneyard under Contract — were allowed to go to work Geo. Moran — San Hobbs — Henry Johnson & Nat Gina — plead guilty — and were the legal time for sentence — Defendant — allowed until Six O'Clock P.M. of this day ex quit the town —
"	

J. B. Hill. J.P.

No. 583

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
Thomas Purcell, Harry Crow, Emil Summers, Sal. Rider, Ed Wilson, J Geo. Wilson, Wm Dideau, Carl Schmidt, E. Falls DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walters —
Refer	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence — Defendants permitted to at once leave the town —
		S. H. Hill — J. P.

No. 5-84-

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm. Smith, J. K. Germain and Chas. Wilson DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walters. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead not guilty. and after examination discharged upon the ground that the testimony with the Circumstances will not justify a conviction under the law on Vagrancy. D. H. Hill. J.P.
Oct. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walters. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead not guilty. and after examination discharged upon the ground that the testimony with the Circumstances will not justify a conviction under the law on Vagrancy. D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 5-85-

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

James Smith DEFENDANT

Action Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1885 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given in Court. Walter Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded Not guilty. Defendant committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo to await his trial in default of bail.
" 14.	9. A.M. Defendant in Court. and withdraws his plea of not guilty and pleads guilty. and waives the legal time for Sentence. The Court orders that the Defendant be discharged he having been in Court for ten days and there sufficiently punished for the Crime Committed.

D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 5-86-

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

State of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Courtney Calli - Ed. Williams
Jamy Daly — DEFENDANT

Action Negligency

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker -
Oct. "	Defendants in Court - and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premis - Defendants plead guilty - and waive the legal time for Sentence
	Defendants - Permitted to stand over the term -
	B. B. Hill J.P.

No. 5-87.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Louis Miller
DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker.

No. 5-88.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Lee Gray — DEFENDANT

Action Petit Larceny —

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Pet.	and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleaded not guilty
	and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo to await
	his trial in default of Bail —
11.	This Cause set for trial at 1 O.C. P.M. Oct 17th 1888 —
"	Defendant demands trial by Jury Venire issued —
17.	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Venire returned & filed
	Twelve jurors drawn to try the Cause — Witnesses sworn
	and examined for and Con Cause Closed argued and
	Submitted to the Jury — after a short deliberation the
	Jury were returned in to Court with a verdict of acquitted
	Defendant — and Jury discharged —

S. H. Hills J.P.

No. 5-87.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Rollins Magee and Duncan Magee DEFENDANTS

Action Assault

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walters —
9.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead Not guilty — Defendants held for their appearance for trial with the sum of One hundred dollars each & them — Bond approved and filed —
11.	This Cause set for trial at 10. o.c. A.M. Oct 14th. 1888 —
15.	Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant —
18.	Cause continued generally —

No. 5-9 a.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

G. H. Thomas — PLAINTIFF

vs.

G E. Edwards — DEFENDANT

Action Account—

Demand, $31.16/100

E. B. Rush — Attorney for Plaintiff

H. H. Welch — Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct—	8. Complaint— filed Summons issued & given Con— Walker Affidavit— for Attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ— of attachment issued & given Con— Walker.
	9. Summons returned Showing Journal duly on the Defendant— together with a Copy of the Complaint— on file herein on the 9th day of Oct— 1888 duly filed—
	" Writ— of attachment executed returned and filed
	13. Defendant— files his Notice of Motion to discharge the Attachment—
	" " " " Answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint— on file herein—
	" 19. 9. O. C. A. M. Parties in Court— Motion argued and Submitted— Motion granted— Defendants— excepted—
Nov.	2[illegible]. This Cause Set— for trial at— 11. O'C. A. M. Nov— 27th 1888
	" 27. Defendant— Paid on this action the Sum of $31.16—
	29. This Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff—
	S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 5-91.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm. Walton, Jacob Clearman, Thos. Driskill, John Finch and Montgomery Henderson DEFENDANTS

Action Fraud

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton.
Rept.	" Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence — Defendant allowed to go and file no mo —
	S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 5-92.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Chas. Hartman, John Jones and Wm. Carmichael DEFENDANTS

Action Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued upon which Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence.
"	Defendants allowed to at once seek the line.

D. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 593

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe alias
Wm Emmerson
DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of Fifty dollars.
Sept 1	

No. 594.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

H. Hz Austin — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Bunnell — DEFENDANT

Action Account —

Demand, $ 10.00 —

W. T. Nesbit
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1877 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct- 11.	Complaint- filed Summons issued & in Court- Austin

No. 595

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

John Manning — PLAINTIFF

vs.

G. H. Morehead — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $290 00

D. Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. O. Sutton
Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	11	Complaint filed Summons issued & gvn Plaintiff attorney —
"	29	Superseded by his attorney E. O. Sutton filed his answer & W[illegible] Complaint.
"	31	This Cause by Consent of Parties Set for trial at 1 O.C.P.M. — November 12th 1888 —
Nov	5.	Issued two Subpoenas for Plaintiff and gvn Plaintiff attorney —
"	12.	1 O.C.P.M. Parties in Court — and ready for trial — Parties waive trial by jury — Parties ready to proceed — W. C. Kenistee and John Manning Sworn and examined as witness for the Plaintiff — Plaintiff Rests
"		This Cause Settled in full — and dismissed —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 596

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of [illegible]

State of California.

J. H. Ferguson — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Lewis Manufacturing Chemical Company — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $6.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Plaintiff's agent —
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment — approved and filed — Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff's agent

No. 597

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Michael Burns — PLAINTIFF

vs.

William Belridge — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $34.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	11. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff
"	12. Summons returned Sheriff personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of October 1888. and filed —
"	15. The Plaintiff accepts as principal in full the Sum of $24.00 — with the understanding ~~that the Said~~ defendant Wm Belridge pay the Cost of Suit — and when so paid Plaintiff orders the Court to dismiss said action —
"	This Cause dismissed & judgment Stopping Costs —

S. A. Hill J.P.

No. 398.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

H. H. Smith — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jacob Reeder — DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $23.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Watkins.
" 22.	Summons returned showing personal service on defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22. October 1888 and filed

No. 6-99.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Account—

Rubin Goldstein & Co PLAINTIFFS

vs.

L. G. Thompson DEFENDANT

Demand, $14.92/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Con Walker

No. 600

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Account

Rubin Goldstein & Co — PLAINTIFF

vs.

B. F. Rogal — DEFENDANT

Demand, $32.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 11.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Out — Walker —
" 22.	Summons returned Showing Personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22 — day of October 1888. and filed —
" 31.	In this action the defendant having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the default of the said defendant having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the Sum of thirty two dollars — and the Sum of Seven dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the amount — Said for — 32.00
	" " " Justices fee — 4.50
	" " " Constables " 2.50
"	Total amount of Judgment — — $39.00

B. H. Hill J.P.

No. 611.

In the Justice's Court of Blair Township,

County of Brown

State of California.

Action Account-

Rubine Goldstein & Co — PLAINTIFFS

vs.

A. B. Peters — DEFENDANT

Demand, $ 8.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct- 11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court- Hallin-
15.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the defendant- together with a copy of the Complaint- on file herein on the 15th day of October 1888 and filed —
22.	In this action the defendant- having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint- on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant- in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —

No. 602.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

H. G. Funk — PLAINTIFF

vs.

P. H. Walton

DEFENDANT

Action Note & Account

Demand, $88.00 /100 — and costs —

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued Sheriff Fresno Co. to H. M. Bell —
"	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued Sheriff Fresno Co. to H. M. Bell —
"	17.	This cause settled in full and dismissed —
		S. H. Hall J. P.

No. 613.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of [illegible]

State of California.

The People of California, PLAINTIFF	Action [illegible]
vs.	
John Dunlap, DEFENDANT	Demand, $
	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walton - Defendant in Court - and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises defendant plead not guilty - Defendant examined and discharged - upon the ground that the testimony is insufficient to commit - J. H. Hill J.P.
Refer "		

No. 604.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

H. H. Loner, William Shemer et al DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker
"	Defendants brot into Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises - defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence -
	Defendants allowed to stand bail in the sum

D. B. Wells J.P.

No. 615

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

S. Bruce PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Prann DEFENDANT

Action Account

Demand, $58.00/100

Church & Carey
Attorney's for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Walker
	22.	Summons returned Diligently Personal Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22d day of October 1884 and filed
"	25.	This Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff & Rule entered

D. St. Hill. J.P.

No. 606.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Donahue-Emmerson & Co — PLAINTIFFS

vs.

William Billoon — DEFENDANT

Action Account —

Demand, $90.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 12.	Complaint filed —

No. 607.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Butte
State of California.

P. H. Fanning PLAINTIFF

vs.

E. H. Walton

DEFENDANT

Action Writ of Attachment

Demand, $47.85/100 with interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	12th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Walton Affidavit for Attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const Walton —
"	16.	Summons returned Hanning personal Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of October 1888 and filed —
	"	Writ of Attachment Executed returned and filed
"	17.	This Cause dismissed by order of Plff. having been paid in full —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 618-

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California
PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jas R Sullivan
DEFENDANT

Action Grand Larceny

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendant in Court Waives
"	15.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant held for his examination in the Sum of $1000.00 and Committed to the Sheriff of Inyo County in default of said bond
"	24.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and asked for an examination at once Parties ready to proceed with the Examination — H. H. Bartlett, Sworn and examined as a witness for the People after which the Prosecution Close — Counsel Submitted — The Court having heard the evidence and having fully considered the Same and it appearing to the Court that the Offence of Burglary has been Committed — and that there is sufficient Cause to believe Jas R. Sullivan guilty thereof — Therefore that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of $2000.00 — and he is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until he give said bail

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 619

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF
vs.
Wm. Lane and Chs. Wood DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton
	14.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead not guilty. Cause examined and defendants discharged.
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 610.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Wm Davis

DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent to Coal Court — Walter Defendant — in Court — and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence — Defendant permitted to at once pass the time — at his request —
"	D. H. Hall J.P.

No. 611.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

C. K. Duffard — PLAINTIFF

vs.

R. B. Johnson & Michael Goff — DEFENDANTS

Action Account

Demand, $10.00 Fee

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 13.	Complaint filed Summons issued Cost Waltin
14.	This cause settled in full and dismissed

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 612.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Fresno
State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Vagrancy
vs.	Demand, $
Joseph Oldman DEFENDANT	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walter.
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
[illegible]	"	and of his rights in the premises. Defendant Examined
		and discharged — upon the ground — that the
		evidence fail to establish the crime of vagrancy.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 613.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

G. H. Thomas — PLAINTIFF

vs.

J. M. Smith & Wife J. H. Smith his wife — DEFENDANTS

Action Account —

Demand, $ 57.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Walton —
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Walton —
15.	By order of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed by dismissal having been made in full —
	D. B. Hill J.P.

No. 614.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of

State of California.

The People of Calefornia — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Jim Blane (a Chinaman) — DEFENDANT

Action Insanity

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1887. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 13.	Affidavit of H. P. Hutchinson filed and upon said affidavit Warrant issue for the arrest of the said defendant and give to Const. R. M. Bell Returnable before Hon. J. B. Campbell Superior Judge of the County and State aforesaid.
	J. B. Hill J. P.

No. 615

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Chas. Lenhart and August Hunn — DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walter.
Oct 16.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them & of their rights in the premises Defendant plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence — Defendants allowed to at once pay the fine —
	E. H. Hill J. P.

No. 416.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Thomas McDonald

DEFENDANT

Action Burglary

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. H. H. Bartlett.

No. 617

In the Justice's Court of B Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF	Action Larceny
vs.	Demand, $
W. D. Byrne	Attorney for Plaintiff
DEFENDANT	Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. Ret	15.	Complaint filed also affidavit of W. W. Sanford Warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Walton returnable before Hon J. Campbell
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 618.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

J. G. Taylor — PLAINTIFF

vs.

G. A. Noble — DEFENDANT

Action Suit for Replevin —

Demand, $94.50 — Damages — $100.00

E. B. Edmands —
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 15.	Complaint on Claim and delivery filed. Summons issued affidavit and Bond made and given. Constable C. P. Walker —
" 24.	Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint
" "	Affidavit and Undertaking on Claim and delivery Executed returned and filed Summons returned Hearing Postponed Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 15th day of Oct 1888. & filed —

No. 619

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Hugh Sweeney — DEFENDANT

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	15	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker.
Oct	16.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty Defendant examined and acquitted.

J. H. Bell J.P.

300

No. 624

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The Pepl of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

William Haley — DEFENDANT

Action Nuisance

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Const Walker -
"	Defendant in Court - and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Trial - Defendant discharged and allowed to go to work -
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 621.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

John Doe — DEFENDANT

Action Battery

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker.

No. 422

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California PLAINTIFF

vs.

Robert Brunn. Chas. Allen & Jack Peirce

DEFENDANTS

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1888 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Defendants in Court — Waived Defendants in Court — and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence — Defendants fined $5.00 each & Costs and allowed ten days in which to pay said fine —
" 27.	Defendants Cant be found have left —

D. A. Hill J.P.

No. 623.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Lon Smith, John Williams, Hug Lewis, Geo. Robertson, Felix Hall & Geo. Beall — DEFENDANTS

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1878 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Walker
Rept.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
	Defendants allowed until 3 o'clock P.M. to show cause why sentence should not be passed on them —
6.	O.C. P.M. — Defendants sentenced as hereinafter set forth —
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 624-

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California — PLAINTIFF

vs.

Alex Rogers — DEFENDANT

Action Vagrancy

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1888 Oct. 8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walters —